

Cubic Irrationalities and a Ramanujan-Nagell Analogue

Mark Bauer

* Joint work with Michael Bennett

University of Calgary
Department of Mathematics & Statistics

Motivating Question

Does the equation

$$x^3 - x + 8 = 2^k$$

have any solutions for $x > 8$?

Note: It has solutions for $x \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 3, 5, 8\}$.

Motivating Question

Does the equation

$$x^3 - x + 8 = 2^k$$

have any solutions for $x > 8$?

Note: It has solutions for $x \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 3, 5, 8\}$.

Better(?) Question: Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic cubic. How many solutions can the following equation have?

$$f(x) = 2^k$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $P(n) = p$ where p is the largest prime s.t. $p|n$.

$$P(f(x)) \geq c \cdot \log \log \max\{|x|, 3\}$$

There is also a homogenized form of this.

Thue-Mahler Equation

- $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ irreducible binary form of degree at least 3.
- $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ be a finite set of primes.

The Thue-Mahler equation is given by

$$F(x, y) = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s}.$$

$$F(x, y) = 2^k$$

Evertse: Number of solutions has explicit upper bound

We have an obvious simplification (for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$).

$$x^3 + ax + b = 2^k \quad \text{or} \quad x^3 + ax + b = 27 \cdot 2^k$$

Note: this says

$$|x^3 - 2^n| = |ax + b|$$

or

$$|x^3 - 27 \cdot 2^n| = |ax + b|$$

i.e. the difference of a cube and a power of 2 is "small".

We have an obvious simplification (for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$).

$$x^3 + ax + b = 2^k \quad \text{or} \quad x^3 + ax + b = 27 \cdot 2^k$$

Note: this says

$$|x^3 - 2^n| = |ax + b|$$

or

$$|x^3 - 27 \cdot 2^n| = |ax + b|$$

i.e. the difference of a cube and a power of 2 is "small".

We'll drop the one with 27 from here one....

Another approach to finiteness:

Show

$$|x^3 - 2^n| \geq x^\delta, \delta > 1.$$

Should we expect this?

- $3|n$: then its either 0 or $> x^2$.
- $3 \nmid n$: yields an approximation to a power of $\sqrt[3]{2}$.

More precisely, $n = 3k + b$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$.

Our top inequality is (roughly) equivalent to

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2^b} - \frac{x}{2^k} \right| \gg \frac{1}{2^{k(3-\delta)}}$$

Another approach to finiteness:

Show

$$|x^3 - 2^n| \geq x^\delta, \delta > 1.$$

Should we expect this?

- $3|n$: then its either 0 or $> x^2$.
- $3 \nmid n$: yields an approximation to a power of $\sqrt[3]{2}$.

More precisely, $n = 3k + b$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$.

Our top inequality is (roughly) equivalent to

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2^b} - \frac{x}{2^k} \right| \gg \frac{1}{2^{k(3-\delta)}}$$

So?

Irrationality Measures

Explicit Irrationality Measure

Let α be a real number. If $C_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\alpha \neq p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$:

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{C_\alpha}{q^{\lambda_\alpha}}$$

then C_α, λ_α is an explicit irrationality measure for α .

If we have $\lambda_{\sqrt[3]{2}} < 3 - \delta$, then

$$|x^3 - 2^n| \geq x^\delta.$$

with finitely many exceptions.

Note: We need $\delta > 1$, so that means we want $\lambda_{\sqrt[3]{2}} < 2$.

Theorem (Liouville's Theorem)

$$\lambda_\alpha = [\mathbb{Q}[\alpha] : \mathbb{Q}], C_\alpha = C(\alpha).$$

Theorem (Thue (1918))

$$\lambda_\alpha = n/2 + 1 + \epsilon, C_\alpha = C(\alpha, \epsilon)$$

Theorem (Siegel (1921))

$$\lambda_\alpha = \min_{s \in 1, \dots, n-1} \left(\frac{n}{s+1} + s \right) \approx 2\sqrt{n}, C_\alpha = C(\alpha)$$

Theorem (Gelfond-Dyson (1947))

$$\lambda_\alpha = \sqrt{2n} + \epsilon, C_\alpha = C(\alpha, \epsilon)$$

Theorem (Roth (1955))

$$\lambda_\alpha = 2 + \epsilon, C_\alpha = C(\alpha, \epsilon)$$

Restricted Irrationality Measures

Note: Our motivating example give rise to considering rational approximations with denominators of a specific form:

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2} - \frac{x}{2^k} \right| < \frac{1}{2^{k(3-\delta)}}, \quad 3 - \delta < 2.$$

Restricted Irrationality Measures

Note: Our motivating example give rise to considering rational approximations with denominators of a specific form:

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2} - \frac{x}{2^k} \right| < \frac{1}{2^{k(3-\delta)}}, \quad 3 - \delta < 2.$$

Explicit Restricted Irrationality Measure

Let α be a real number, $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $C_{\alpha,y}, \lambda_{\alpha,y} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\alpha \neq p/y^k$:

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{y^k} \right| \geq \frac{C_{\alpha,y}}{(y^k)^{\lambda_{\alpha,y}}}$$

then $C_{\alpha,y}, \lambda_{\alpha,y}$ is a restricted irrationality measure for α .

Restricted Irrationality Measures

Theorem (Ridout's Theorem (simplified))

Let α be an algebraic integer (non-rational), and $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ be a fixed set of primes. Let q be any integer with prime factors in $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$. The inequality

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^{1+\epsilon}}$$

has at most finitely many solutions in p and q for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Restricted Irrationality Measures

Theorem (Ridout's Theorem (simplified))

Let α be an algebraic integer (non-rational), and $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ be a fixed set of primes. Let q be any integer with prime factors in $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$. The inequality

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^{1+\epsilon}}$$

has at most finitely many solutions in p and q for every $\epsilon > 0$.

- 1 This is good enough.
- 2 Still ineffective \Rightarrow We want **effective** version of this theorem.

Towards Constructing Restricted Irrationality Measures

Thue-Siegel Method

- 1 Find an initial good rational approximation.
- 2 Generate an infinite family of “good” approximations using the initial one.
- 3 Use this family to construct the irrationality measure.

Obviously, we will cover this in reverse order.....

Constructing Irrationality Measures

Construct an infinite sequence of rationals $\{P_k/Q_k\}_k$ s.t.

$$\frac{P_k}{Q_k} \approx \sqrt[3]{2}$$

with $Q_k \rightarrow \infty$, with Q_k “sufficiently” dense.

Assume $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is also close to $\sqrt[3]{2}$.

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2} - \frac{P_k}{Q_k} \right| + \left| \sqrt[3]{2} - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_k} - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{1}{L_k}$$

where $L_k = \text{lcm}(q, Q_k)$, provided $\frac{P_k}{Q_k} \neq \frac{p}{q}$. Taking

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2} - \frac{P_k}{Q_k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2L_k}, \quad \implies \quad \left| \sqrt[3]{2} - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2L_k}$$

Hypergeometric Method

Padé Approximants to $(1 - z)^{1/3}$:

$$I_{n_1, n_2}(x) = P_{n_1, n_2}(x) - (1 - x)^{1/3} Q_{n_1, n_2}(x)$$

where $I_{n_1, n_2}(x) = O(x^{n_1 + n_2 + 1})$.

$$P_{n_1, n_2}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_2 + 1/3}{k} \binom{n_1 + n_2 - k}{n_2} (-x)^k$$

$$Q_{n_1, n_2}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} \binom{n_1 - 1/3}{k} \binom{n_1 + n_2 - k}{n_2} (-x)^k$$

- 1 $I_{n_1, n_2}(x)$ also has a “nice” integral representation.
- 2 Can approximate $I_{n_1, n_2}(x)$, $P_{n_1, n_2}(x)$ for “small” x analytically.

Hypergeometric Method

Start with a “good” approximation:

$$\frac{5}{2^2} \approx 2^{1/3} \Rightarrow 5^3 + 3 = 2^7, \quad 3 \text{ is “small”}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q_{n_1, n_2}}{P_{n_1, n_2}} \left(\frac{3}{2^7} \right) &\approx \left(1 - \frac{3}{2^7} \right)^{-1/3} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{2} \cdot 2^2}{5} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{Q_{n_1, n_2}}{P_{n_1, n_2}} \left(\frac{3}{2^7} \right) &\approx \frac{\sqrt[3]{2} \cdot 2^2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying appropriately we get P_k and Q_k .

Hypergeometric Method

Start with a “good” approximation:

$$\frac{5}{2^2} \approx 2^{1/3} \Rightarrow 5^3 + 3 = 2^7, \quad 3 \text{ is “small”}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q_{n_1, n_2}}{P_{n_1, n_2}} \left(\frac{3}{2^7} \right) &\approx \left(1 - \frac{3}{2^7} \right)^{-1/3} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{2} \cdot 2^2}{5} \\ \implies \frac{Q_{n_1, n_2}}{P_{n_1, n_2}} \left(\frac{3}{2^7} \right) &\approx \frac{\sqrt[3]{2} \cdot 2^2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying appropriately we get P_k and Q_k .

Note: The denominator of the left is divisible by $(2^7)^{n_2 - n_1}$.

Point: One “good” approximation gives us many.

Theorem

For $k \geq 12$, and $s \in \{1, 3\}$, we have for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\left| \sqrt[3]{2^k} - \frac{p}{s \cdot 2^k} \right| > \left(2^k\right)^{-1.63}.$$

Corollary

If x and n are integers, then either $x^3 = 2^n$, $(x, n) = (5, 7)$ or we have

$$|x^3 - 2^n| \geq x^{4/3}.$$

If x and n are integers, then either $x^3 = 27 \cdot 2^n$, or $x \in \{4, 5, 8, 15, 19, 38, 121\}$ or we have

$$|x^3 - 27 \cdot 2^n| \geq 3^{5/3} x^{4/3}.$$

Two Applications

- ① Our original equation (exclude $x = 5$),

$$x^3 - x + 8 = 2^n$$

$$\Rightarrow |x^3 - 2^n| = |x - 8| \geq x^{4/3} \quad \text{or} \quad x^3 = 2^n.$$

This inequality is only satisfied for $x < 3$.

- ② Cubic Ramanujan-Nagell Analogue (exclude $x = 5$):

$$x^3 + D = 2^n$$

$$\Rightarrow |x^3 - 2^n| = |D| \geq x^{4/3} \quad \text{or} \quad x^3 = 2^n$$

Again, interested in number of solutions.

- Finite is easy.
- At most 3 - gap principle