Properties of elliptic curves with a point of order nover number fields of degree d

Filip Najman

University of Zagreb

Arithmetic Aspects of Explicit Moduli Problems Banff, May 29th 2017. Let E/K be an elliptic curve, where K is a number field of degree d, such that E(K) contains a point of order n.

Let E/K be an elliptic curve, where K is a number field of degree d, such that E(K) contains a point of order n.

What properties do E and K have?

Let E/K be an elliptic curve, where K is a number field of degree d, such that E(K) contains a point of order n.

What properties do E and K have?

What can we say about the field K itself, about the rank of E over K (or over extensions of K), the reduction types of E at primes of K, the field of definition of E and j(E), etc.?

Elliptic curves with a point of order n over \mathbb{Q}

It is reasonable to start with d=1, i.e. to look at elliptic curves over $\mathbb Q.$

It is reasonable to start with d=1, i.e. to look at elliptic curves over $\mathbb Q.$

Mazur (1977): The torsion of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

 C_n , where n = 1, ..., 10 or 12,

$$C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$$
, where $n = 1, \ldots, 4$.

It is reasonable to start with d=1, i.e. to look at elliptic curves over $\mathbb Q.$

Mazur (1977): The torsion of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

 C_n , where $n = 1, \ldots, 10$ or 12,

$$C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$$
, where $n = 1, \ldots, 4$.

Unfortunately, one cannot say much about an elliptic curve with a point of order n over \mathbb{Q} .

It is reasonable to start with d=1, i.e. to look at elliptic curves over $\mathbb Q.$

Mazur (1977): The torsion of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

 C_n , where $n = 1, \ldots, 10$ or 12,

$$C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$$
, where $n = 1, \ldots, 4$.

Unfortunately, one cannot say much about an elliptic curve with a point of order n over \mathbb{Q} .

The reason is that all the curves $X_1(n)$ with non-cuspidal points over \mathbb{Q} (i.e. $n \leq 10$ or n = 12), are of genus 0.

Let E/K be an elliptic curve, where K is a number field of degree d, with a point of order n.

1) For
$$(n, d) = (13, 2)$$
:

a) K is a real quadratic field.

1) For
$$(n, d) = (13, 2)$$
:

- a) K is a real quadratic field.
- b) *E* is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} and $j(E) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (but *E* itself is not defined over \mathbb{Q} !). So *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.

1) For
$$(n, d) = (13, 2)$$
:

- a) K is a real quadratic field.
- b) *E* is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} and $j(E) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (but *E* itself is not defined over \mathbb{Q} !). So *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
- c) E has even rank over K.

1) For
$$(n, d) = (13, 2)$$
:

- a) K is a real quadratic field.
- b) *E* is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} and $j(E) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (but *E* itself is not defined over \mathbb{Q} !). So *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
- c) E has even rank over K.
- d) *E* has even rank over every number field *N* that can be written as $N = N' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ for some number field *N'*.

1) For
$$(n, d) = (13, 2)$$
:

- a) K is a real quadratic field.
- b) *E* is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} and $j(E) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (but *E* itself is not defined over \mathbb{Q} !). So *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
- c) E has even rank over K.
- d) *E* has even rank over every number field *N* that can be written as $N = N' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ for some number field *N'*.
- e) The product $\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu}$ of the Tamagawa numbers c_{ν} of E satisfies that $v_{13}(\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu})$ is a positive even integer and there exists exactly one elliptic curve for which $v_{13}(\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu}) = 2$.

Let E/K be an elliptic curve, where K is a number field of degree d, with a point of order n.

1) For
$$(n, d) = (13, 2)$$
:

- a) K is a real quadratic field.
- b) *E* is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} and $j(E) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (but *E* itself is not defined over \mathbb{Q} !). So *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
- c) E has even rank over K.
- d) *E* has even rank over every number field *N* that can be written as $N = N' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ for some number field *N'*.
- e) The product $\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu}$ of the Tamagawa numbers c_{ν} of E satisfies that $v_{13}(\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu})$ is a positive even integer and there exists exactly one elliptic curve for which $v_{13}(\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu}) = 2$.

a), b) and c) were proved by Bosman, Bruin, Dujella and N. (2011), a) was independently also proven by Krumm (2012), d) by Bruin and N. (2012), and e) by N. (2016)

For (n, d) = (16, 2), E is defined over Q, i.e. E/K is a base change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. Moreover E(Q)_{tors} ≃ C₈ and E has a Q-rational 16-isogeny.

For (n, d) = (16, 2), E is defined over Q, i.e. E/K is a base change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. Moreover E(Q)_{tors} ≃ C₈ and E has a Q-rational 16-isogeny.
For (n, d) = (18, 2),

a) K is a real quadratic field.

- For (n, d) = (16, 2), E is defined over Q, i.e. E/K is a base change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. Moreover E(Q)_{tors} ≃ C₈ and E has a Q-rational 16-isogeny.
- 3) For (n, d) = (18, 2),
 - a) K is a real quadratic field.
 - b) E is 2-isogenous (over K) to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} , so E is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.

- For (n, d) = (16, 2), E is defined over Q, i.e. E/K is a base change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. Moreover E(Q)_{tors} ≃ C₈ and E has a Q-rational 16-isogeny.
- 3) For (n, d) = (18, 2),
 - a) K is a real quadratic field.
 - b) *E* is 2-isogenous (over *K*) to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} , so *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
 - c) E has even rank over K

- For (n, d) = (16, 2), E is defined over Q, i.e. E/K is a base change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. Moreover E(Q)_{tors} ≃ C₈ and E has a Q-rational 16-isogeny.
- 3) For (n, d) = (18, 2),
 - a) K is a real quadratic field.
 - b) *E* is 2-isogenous (over *K*) to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} , so *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
 - c) *E* has even rank over *K*
 - d) *E* has even rank over every number field *N* that can be written as $N = N' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ for some number field *N'*.

For (n, d) = (16, 2), E is defined over Q, i.e. E/K is a base change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. Moreover E(Q)_{tors} ≃ C₈ and E has a Q-rational 16-isogeny.

3) For
$$(n, d) = (18, 2)$$
,

- a) K is a real quadratic field.
- b) *E* is 2-isogenous (over *K*) to its Galois conjugate E^{σ} , so *E* is a \mathbb{Q} -curve.
- c) *E* has even rank over *K*
- d) *E* has even rank over every number field *N* that can be written as $N = N' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ for some number field *N'*.

2) was proved by Bruin and N. (2016), 3a), 3b), 3c) by BBDN (2011), and 3a) also independently by Krumm (2012) and 3d) by Bruin and N. (2012)

4) For
$$(n, d) = (21, 3), (E, K)$$
 is unique

$$E: y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - 5x + 5,$$

and $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)^+.$

5) If $E(K)_{tors}$ contains $C_2 \oplus C_{14}$ as a subgroup, then K is a cyclic cubic field and E is a base change of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

The curve in 4) was found by N. (2012), and proven to be unique by Derickx, Etropolski, Morrow and Zuerick-Brown (?). Statement 5) was proved by Bruin and N. (2016)

6) For (n, d) = (22, 4),

- a) The Galois group of the normal closure of K over \mathbb{Q} is D_4 .
- b) *E* is 2-isogenous to E^{σ} , where σ is the generator of Gal(*K*/*L*), and where *L* is the unique quadratic subfield of *K*. So *E* is a *L*-curve.

6) For (n, d) = (22, 4),

- a) The Galois group of the normal closure of K over $\mathbb Q$ is D_4 .
- b) E is 2-isogenous to E^{σ} , where σ is the generator of Gal(K/L), and where L is the unique quadratic subfield of K. So E is a L-curve.
- c) E has even rank over K

6) For
$$(n, d) = (22, 4)$$
,

- a) The Galois group of the normal closure of K over $\mathbb Q$ is D_4 .
- b) E is 2-isogenous to E^{σ} , where σ is the generator of Gal(K/L), and where L is the unique quadratic subfield of K. So E is a L-curve.
- c) E has even rank over K
- 7) For (n, d) = (17, 4), the Galois group of the normal closure of K over \mathbb{Q} is D_4 or S_4 , with finitely many exceptions.

6) was proven by BBDN (2011) and 7) by Derickx, Kamienny and Mazur (2015).

All of these results come from finding all the maps from the corresponding modular curve $X := X_1(n)$ to all possible quotients X' of X of genus 0, and understanding the moduli interpretation of X' and the maps $X \to X'$.

All of these results come from finding all the maps from the corresponding modular curve $X := X_1(n)$ to all possible quotients X' of X of genus 0, and understanding the moduli interpretation of X' and the maps $X \to X'$.

We will sketch the case when X is a hyperelliptic curve, i.e $X = X_1(n)$ for n = 13, 16, 18.

All of these results come from finding all the maps from the corresponding modular curve $X := X_1(n)$ to all possible quotients X' of X of genus 0, and understanding the moduli interpretation of X' and the maps $X \to X'$.

We will sketch the case when X is a hyperelliptic curve, i.e $X = X_1(n)$ for n = 13, 16, 18.

All the other cases follow the same basic ideas, although they are more technically complicated.

Hyperelliptic modular curves

Let E/K be an elliptic curve with a point of order n over a quadratic field K such that $X := X_1(n)$ is hyperelliptic. Let J be the Jacobian of X, ι the (unique) hyperelliptic involution of X and σ the generator of $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Fix a cusp $C \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. We look at the map

 $f: \operatorname{Sym}^2 X \to J,$

$$\{P,Q\} \rightarrow [P+Q-C-\iota(C)],$$

which is an isomorphism away from the fibre above 0 which consists of the pairs of points $\{P, \iota(P)\}$ which are fixed by ι .

Hyperelliptic modular curves

Let E/K be an elliptic curve with a point of order n over a quadratic field K such that $X := X_1(n)$ is hyperelliptic. Let J be the Jacobian of X, ι the (unique) hyperelliptic involution of X and σ the generator of $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Fix a cusp $C \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. We look at the map

 $f: \operatorname{Sym}^2 X \to J,$

$$\{P,Q\} \rightarrow [P+Q-C-\iota(C)],$$

which is an isomorphism away from the fibre above 0 which consists of the pairs of points $\{P, \iota(P)\}$ which are fixed by ι .

In all our cases we get that $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite and, we compute that $f^{-1}(J(\mathbb{Q}) - \{0\})$ consists only of pairs of cusps.

Hyperelliptic modular curves

Let E/K be an elliptic curve with a point of order n over a quadratic field K such that $X := X_1(n)$ is hyperelliptic. Let J be the Jacobian of X, ι the (unique) hyperelliptic involution of X and σ the generator of $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Fix a cusp $C \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. We look at the map

 $f: \operatorname{Sym}^2 X \to J,$

$$\{P,Q\} \rightarrow [P+Q-C-\iota(C)],$$

which is an isomorphism away from the fibre above 0 which consists of the pairs of points $\{P, \iota(P)\}$ which are fixed by ι .

In all our cases we get that $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite and, we compute that $f^{-1}(J(\mathbb{Q}) - \{0\})$ consists only of pairs of cusps.

Now take a non-cusp point P in X(K). Then $f(\{P, P^{\sigma}\}) \in J(\mathbb{Q})$, thus it has to be 0, so $P^{\sigma} = \iota(P)$.

To conclude: the only non-cusp quadratic points on X are such that $\iota(P) = P^{\sigma}$.

To conclude: the only non-cusp quadratic points on X are such that $\iota(P) = P^{\sigma}$.

Note that this is general fact about hyperelliptic curves: using the notation as above, for a hyperelliptic curve over a number field L, the points P of degree 2 over L are those such that $P^{\sigma} = \iota(P)$ together with those that lie in $f^{-1}(J(L) - \{0\})$.

To conclude: the only non-cusp quadratic points on X are such that $\iota(P) = P^{\sigma}$.

Note that this is general fact about hyperelliptic curves: using the notation as above, for a hyperelliptic curve over a number field L, the points P of degree 2 over L are those such that $P^{\sigma} = \iota(P)$ together with those that lie in $f^{-1}(J(L) - \{0\})$.

Taking a model $X : y^2 = f(x)$, we have that all the quadratic non-cusp points are of the form $(x, \sqrt{f(x)})$, for some $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now it happens that for $X = X_1(13)$ and $X_1(18)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, f(x) is positive. Hence there are no non-cuspidal points on these modular curves are defined over imaginary quadratic fields. Recall that each point $x \in X_1(n)$ represents a K-isomorphism class of (E, P), where E/K and $P \in E(K)$ has order n. Then x^{σ} represents (E^{σ}, P^{σ}) . The moduli interpretation of ι is the following

- For n = 13, $\iota((E, P)) = (E, 5P)$. Now since $\iota(x) = x^{\sigma}$ we have $E \simeq E^{\sigma}$.
- For n = 16, $\iota((E, P)) = (E, 9P)$. Again, since $\iota(x) = x^{\sigma}$ we have $E \simeq E^{\sigma}$.
- For n = 18, $\iota((E, P)) = (E/\langle 9P \rangle, Q)$, where Q is a point of order 18. We have that E is 2-isogenous to E^{σ} .

To get information about the field of definition of E, one has to understand the isomorphism $E \simeq E^{\sigma}$.

To get information about the field of definition of E, one has to understand the isomorphism $E \simeq E^{\sigma}$.

Take now $X = X_1(13)$ and let ϕ be the isomorphism from (E^{σ}, P^{σ}) to (E, 5P) coming from $x^{\sigma} = \iota(x)$. Then

$$(\phi \circ \sigma)(E, P) = (E, 5P),$$

$$(\phi \circ \sigma)^2(E, P) = (E, 25P) = (E, -P).$$

To get information about the field of definition of E, one has to understand the isomorphism $E \simeq E^{\sigma}$.

Take now $X = X_1(13)$ and let ϕ be the isomorphism from (E^{σ}, P^{σ}) to (E, 5P) coming from $x^{\sigma} = \iota(x)$. Then

$$(\phi \circ \sigma)(E,P) = (E,5P),$$

$$(\phi \circ \sigma)^2(E, P) = (E, 25P) = (E, -P).$$

Now it is obvious that ϕ cannot be the identity, hence σ does not act trivially on E, and hence E is not defined over \mathbb{Q} .

To get information about the field of definition of E, one has to understand the isomorphism $E \simeq E^{\sigma}$.

Take now $X = X_1(13)$ and let ϕ be the isomorphism from (E^{σ}, P^{σ}) to (E, 5P) coming from $x^{\sigma} = \iota(x)$. Then

$$(\phi \circ \sigma)(E, P) = (E, 5P),$$

$$(\phi \circ \sigma)^2(E, P) = (E, 25P) = (E, -P).$$

Now it is obvious that ϕ cannot be the identity, hence σ does not act trivially on E, and hence E is not defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Moreover $(\phi \circ \sigma) = \sqrt{-1}$ is an endomorphism of E(K), which is not mulitplication by *n*, hence E(K) is a $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module, and hence a \mathbb{Z} -module of even rank. Equivalently, End $(\operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. In the case $X_1(16)$ one can work out that $E^{\sigma} = E$, so E has a model defined over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, for the point P of order 16, one gets that $2P = (2P)^{\sigma}$, so $E(\mathbb{Q})$ contains a point of order 8.

In the case $X_1(16)$ one can work out that $E^{\sigma} = E$, so E has a model defined over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, for the point P of order 16, one gets that $2P = (2P)^{\sigma}$, so $E(\mathbb{Q})$ contains a point of order 8.

In all the above cases we took advanatage of the moduli interpretation of the map $X \to X/\iota$. It was very convenient that there is a unique map from X to \mathbb{P}^1 for hyperelliptic X.

In the case $X_1(16)$ one can work out that $E^{\sigma} = E$, so E has a model defined over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, for the point P of order 16, one gets that $2P = (2P)^{\sigma}$, so $E(\mathbb{Q})$ contains a point of order 8.

In all the above cases we took advanatage of the moduli interpretation of the map $X \to X/\iota$. It was very convenient that there is a unique map from X to \mathbb{P}^1 for hyperelliptic X.

For X of higher gonality, there is usually more than one map from X to \mathbb{P}^1 , so things become more complicated.

Define c_E to be $c_E := \prod_v c_v$.

Define c_E to be $c_E := \prod_v c_v$.

Because the ratio $c_E/\#E(K)_{tors}$ appears, by the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, as a factor in the leading term of the *L*-function of *E*, it is natural to study how the value of c_E depends on $E(K)_{tors}$.

Define c_E to be $c_E := \prod_v c_v$.

Because the ratio $c_E/\#E(K)_{tors}$ appears, by the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, as a factor in the leading term of the *L*-function of *E*, it is natural to study how the value of c_E depends on $E(K)_{tors}$.

Lorenzini (2011) proved many results about this ratio for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

Suppose for simplicity that $N = \#E(K)_{tors}$ is prime. Let $E_1(K_v)$ be the subgroup of $E(K_v)$ of points which reduce to the point at infinity in $E(k_v)$ and let $E_{ns}(k_v)$ be the group of nonsingular points in $E(k_v)$.

Suppose for simplicity that $N = \#E(K)_{tors}$ is prime. Let $E_1(K_v)$ be the subgroup of $E(K_v)$ of points which reduce to the point at infinity in $E(k_v)$ and let $E_{ns}(k_v)$ be the group of nonsingular points in $E(k_v)$.

There exists an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1(K_{\nu}) \longrightarrow E_0(K_{\nu}) \longrightarrow E_{ns}(k_{\nu}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Suppose for simplicity that $N = \#E(K)_{tors}$ is prime. Let $E_1(K_v)$ be the subgroup of $E(K_v)$ of points which reduce to the point at infinity in $E(k_v)$ and let $E_{ns}(k_v)$ be the group of nonsingular points in $E(k_v)$.

There exists an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1(K_v) \longrightarrow E_0(K_v) \longrightarrow E_{ns}(k_v) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If v does not divide N, then there are no points of order N in $E_1(K_v)$, as $E_1(K_v)$ is isomorphic to the formal group of E. If v is also small enough such that there cannot be any points of order N in $E_{ns}(k_v)$, then it follows that $E_0(K_v)$ does not have a point of order N. It then follows, by definition, that N has to divide c_v .

Suppose for simplicity that $N = \#E(K)_{tors}$ is prime. Let $E_1(K_v)$ be the subgroup of $E(K_v)$ of points which reduce to the point at infinity in $E(k_v)$ and let $E_{ns}(k_v)$ be the group of nonsingular points in $E(k_v)$.

There exists an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1(K_v) \longrightarrow E_0(K_v) \longrightarrow E_{ns}(k_v) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If v does not divide N, then there are no points of order N in $E_1(K_v)$, as $E_1(K_v)$ is isomorphic to the formal group of E. If v is also small enough such that there cannot be any points of order N in $E_{ns}(k_v)$, then it follows that $E_0(K_v)$ does not have a point of order N. It then follows, by definition, that N has to divide c_v .

Krumm showed (taking v to be a prime above 2 and N = 13) that for all elliptic curves E over all quadratic fields K with $E(K)_{tors} \simeq C_{13}, v_{13}(c_E) \ge 2.$ Krumm noticed that for the first 48925 such elliptic curves E that he tested it was always true that $v_{13}(c_E)$ is even. He conjectured that this was always the case.

Krumm noticed that for the first 48925 such elliptic curves E that he tested it was always true that $v_{13}(c_E)$ is even. He conjectured that this was always the case.

Let for the remainder of the talk $X = X_1(13)$, and let J be the Jacobian of X. The cusps of X are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ and none of them are fixed by ι . The rank of $J(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+)$ is 0.

Krumm noticed that for the first 48925 such elliptic curves E that he tested it was always true that $v_{13}(c_E)$ is even. He conjectured that this was always the case.

Let for the remainder of the talk $X = X_1(13)$, and let J be the Jacobian of X. The cusps of X are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ and none of them are fixed by ι . The rank of $J(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+)$ is 0.

Lemma. Let $(E, P) = x \in X(K)$, let v be a prime of K such that $v \nmid 13$ and $13|c_v$, let p be the rational prime below v and let v' be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ above p. Then $x \mod v$ is equal to $C \mod v'$ for a cusp $C \in X(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+)$ such that $C \mod v'$ is \mathbb{F}_p -rational.

Proof: Case 1: v does not divide 13

Proof: Case 1: v does not divide 13

Let $x \in Y(K)$, v' be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ above p. Denote by \tilde{y} the reduction of a $y \in X(K) \mod v$ and denote by \overline{y} the reduction of a $y \in X(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+) \mod v'$. Note $Y(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, so x is not defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof: Case 1: v does not divide 13

Let $x \in Y(K)$, v' be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ above p. Denote by \widetilde{y} the reduction of a $y \in X(K) \mod v$ and denote by \overline{y} the reduction of a $y \in X(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+) \mod v'$. Note $Y(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, so x is not defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Let $\widetilde{x} = \overline{C}$ and $\widetilde{x^{\sigma}} = \overline{C_{\sigma}}$, for some cusps C and C_{σ} ; \overline{C} and $\overline{C_{\sigma}}$ are \mathbb{F}_{p} -rational by previous Lemma.

Proof: Case 1: v does not divide 13

Let $x \in Y(K)$, v' be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ above p. Denote by \tilde{y} the reduction of a $y \in X(K) \mod v$ and denote by \overline{y} the reduction of a $y \in X(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+) \mod v'$. Note $Y(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, so x is not defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Let $\widetilde{x} = \overline{C}$ and $\widetilde{x^{\sigma}} = \overline{C_{\sigma}}$, for some cusps C and C_{σ} ; \overline{C} and $\overline{C_{\sigma}}$ are \mathbb{F}_{p} -rational by previous Lemma.

If p is inert or ramified, it follows that

$$\overline{C_{\sigma}} = \widetilde{x^{\sigma}} = \widetilde{x}^{\operatorname{Frob} v} = \overline{C}^{\operatorname{Frob} v} = \overline{C}.$$

It follows that $[\tilde{x} + \tilde{x^{\sigma}} - 2\overline{C}] = 0$, and since, $[x + x^{\sigma} - 2C]$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+$ -rational divisor class, and hence a torsion point, injectivity of reduction mod v' on $J(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})^+)_{tors}$ implies that $[x + x^{\sigma} - 2C] = 0$.

Thus $x + x^{\sigma} - 2C$ is a divisor of a rational function g, and since $x, x^{\sigma} \neq C$, g is of degree 2.

Thus $x + x^{\sigma} - 2C$ is a divisor of a rational function g, and since $x, x^{\sigma} \neq C$, g is of degree 2.

Since the hyperelliptic map is unique (up to an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1), it follows that $g: X \to X/\langle \iota \rangle \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is the hyperelliptic map. It follows that C is fixed by ι , which is a contradiction.

Thus $x + x^{\sigma} - 2C$ is a divisor of a rational function g, and since $x, x^{\sigma} \neq C$, g is of degree 2.

Since the hyperelliptic map is unique (up to an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1), it follows that $g: X \to X/\langle \iota \rangle \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is the hyperelliptic map. It follows that C is fixed by ι , which is a contradiction.

Case 2: v divides 13: All elliptic curves with a point of order 13 can be parameterized as

$$y^{2} + a(t,s)xy + b(t,s)y = x^{3} + b(t,s)x^{2}$$
,

where $s = \sqrt{t^6 - 2t^5 + t^4 - 2t^3 + 6t^2 - 4t + 1}$, and a(t, s) and b(t, s) are rational functions in s and t. Thus the reduction type of E over a prime v over 13 depends only on the value of $t \mod 13$. In all the cases when E_t has multiplicative reduction, we get that 13 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(s)$. By the previous proposition we have, that if $v_{13}(c_v) > 0$, then $v \neq v^{\sigma}$. Since $E^{\sigma} \simeq E$, it follows that $c_v(E) = c_{v^{\sigma}}(E^{\sigma}) = c_{v^{\sigma}}(E)$.

By the previous proposition we have, that if $v_{13}(c_v) > 0$, then $v \neq v^{\sigma}$. Since $E^{\sigma} \simeq E$, it follows that $c_v(E) = c_{v^{\sigma}}(E^{\sigma}) = c_{v^{\sigma}}(E)$.

Hence $v_{13}(\prod_{v} c_{v})$ is even.

By the previous proposition we have, that if $v_{13}(c_v) > 0$, then $v \neq v^{\sigma}$. Since $E^{\sigma} \simeq E$, it follows that $c_v(E) = c_{v^{\sigma}}(E^{\sigma}) = c_{v^{\sigma}}(E)$.

Hence $v_{13}(\prod_{\nu} c_{\nu})$ is even.

Moreover, we prove that the elliptic curve

$$E_2: y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 + \frac{-541 + 131\sqrt{17}}{2}x + 3624 - 879\sqrt{17}$$

is the only elliptic curve *E* over any quadratic field with C_{13} torsion such that $v_{13}(c_E) = 2$; for all other such curves $13^4 | c_E$.

Thank you for your attention!