

Communicating Science to Decision Makers

Breakout Group H

July 13, 2017

Some of the questions I posed

- ▶ Who is communicating to whom?

Some of the questions I posed

- ▶ Who is communicating **with** whom?

Some of the questions I posed

- ▶ Who is communicating **with** whom?
- ▶ Directions of communication: one or two way?

Some of the questions I posed

- ▶ Who is communicating **with** whom?
- ▶ Directions of communication: one or two way?
- ▶ We are uncertainty quantification experts. Are we decision making experts?

Some of the questions I posed

- ▶ Who is communicating **with** whom?
- ▶ Directions of communication: one or two way?
- ▶ We are uncertainty quantification experts. Are we decision making experts?
- ▶ Are we providing information or trying to influence the decision?

Our experiences

- ▶ Scientific advisory committees.
- ▶ Multidisciplinary grants with stakeholder involvements
- ▶ Consulting.
- ▶ Working in government.
- ▶ Peter(x2): talking to congressional staffers.

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.
- ▶ Decision making is a process. Panelist to Panel to Staff to Administrator to Final Decision Maker.

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.
- ▶ Decision making is a process. Panelist to Panel to Staff to Administrator to Final Decision Maker.
- ▶ So how do you communicate at the start to influence the decision at the end?

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.
- ▶ Decision making is a process. Panelist to Panel to Staff to Administrator to Final Decision Maker.
- ▶ So how do you communicate at the start to influence the decision at the end?
- ▶ How do you know the details of that chain and who is really at the end?

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.
- ▶ Decision making is a process. Panelist to Panel to Staff to Administrator to Final Decision Maker.
- ▶ So how do you communicate at the start to influence the decision at the end?
- ▶ How do you know the details of that chain and who is really at the end?
- ▶ The Panel makes a decision, the Staff makes a decision and so on.

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.
- ▶ Decision making is a process. Panelist to Panel to Staff to Administrator to Final Decision Maker.
- ▶ So how do you communicate at the start to influence the decision at the end?
- ▶ How do you know the details of that chain and who is really at the end?
- ▶ The Panel makes a decision, the Staff makes a decision and so on.
- ▶ Is there danger in communicating the uncertainty?

Issues discussed in random order

- ▶ Are you communicating or advocating?
- ▶ Context often adversarial; statisticians also on other side.
- ▶ Decision making is a process. Panelist to Panel to Staff to Administrator to Final Decision Maker.
- ▶ So how do you communicate at the start to influence the decision at the end?
- ▶ How do you know the details of that chain and who is really at the end?
- ▶ The Panel makes a decision, the Staff makes a decision and so on.
- ▶ Is there danger in communicating the uncertainty?
- ▶ How can we get involved if we aren't yet?