Local heights on abelian varieties

via non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets

L. Alexander Betts

Mathematical Institute, Oxford

BIRS workshop on nilpotent fundamental groups
20th June 2017

Introduction

Anabelian geometry

Grothendieck's programme: K a field, Y/K a smooth connected variety, $y \in Y(K)$ a basepoint. We have the profinite étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y)$, endowed with a Galois action; for $z \in Y(K)$ we also have the profinite torsor of paths $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y, z)$, endowed with a compatible Galois action.

Anabelian geometry

Grothendieck's programme: K a field, Y/K a smooth connected variety, $y \in Y(K)$ a basepoint. We have the profinite étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y)$, endowed with a Galois action; for $z \in Y(K)$ we also have the profinite torsor of paths $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y, z)$, endowed with a compatible Galois action. One can study the Diophantine geometry of Y via the *non-abelian Kummer map*

$$Y(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y)).$$

Anabelian geometry

Grothendieck's programme: K a field, Y/K a smooth connected variety, $y \in Y(K)$ a basepoint. We have the profinite étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y)$, endowed with a Galois action; for $z \in Y(K)$ we also have the profinite torsor of paths $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y, z)$, endowed with a compatible Galois action. One can study the Diophantine geometry of Y via the *non-abelian Kummer map*

$$Y(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}; y)).$$

Kim's variant: $U_n/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ the n-step $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-unipotent étale fundamental group of (Y, y). Study the Diophantine geometry of Y via the more computable non-abelian Kummer map

$$Y(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U_n(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)).$$

Unipotent Kummer maps for small n

When $n = 1$ and Y is complete, $U_1 = V_\ell \mathrm{Alb}(Y)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-linear Tate module of the Albanese variety of Y, and the "non-abelian" Kummer map is the composite

$$Y(K) \to \mathrm{Alb}(Y)(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, V_\ell \mathrm{Alb}(Y)).$$

Unipotent Kummer maps for small n

When $n = 1$ and Y is complete, $U_1 = V_\ell \mathrm{Alb}(Y)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-linear Tate module of the Albanese variety of Y, and the "non-abelian" Kummer map is the composite

$$Y(K) \to \mathrm{Alb}(Y)(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, V_\ell \mathrm{Alb}(Y)).$$

The non-abelian Kummer maps for $n > 1$ are thought to see more refined arithmetic information. In the particular case that $n = 2$, the non-abelian Kummer map is thought to see information related to archimedean and ℓ-adic heights.

Local heights as functions on H^1

Theorem (Balakrishnan–Dan-Cohen–Kim–Wewers, 2014)

Let E° be the complement of 0 in an elliptic curve E over a p-adic local field K, and U_2 the 2-step $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-unipotent fundamental group ($\ell \neq p$) of E°. Then the natural map $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1) \hookrightarrow U_2$ induces a bijection on H^1, and the composite map

$$E^\circ(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued Néron function on E with divisor $2[0]$, postcomposed with the natural embedding $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

Generalisation to abelian varieties: setup

In place of the elliptic curve E, we will consider an abelian variety A over a local field K and a line bundle L/A, and let $L^\times = L \setminus 0$ denote the complement of the zero section. The natural anabelian invariant associated to this setup is the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-unipotent fundamental group of $L^\times$ – this is a central extension of the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-linear Tate module $V_\ell A$ by $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$.

Generalisation to abelian varieties: setup

In place of the elliptic curve E, we will consider an abelian variety A over a local field K and a line bundle L/A, and let $L^\times = L \setminus 0$ denote the complement of the zero section. The natural anabelian invariant associated to this setup is the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-unipotent fundamental group of $L^\times$ – this is a central extension of the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-linear Tate module $V_\ell A$ by $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$.

The role of the local height in this setup is played by the *Néron log-metric*

$$\lambda_L \colon L^\times(K) \to \mathbb{R},$$

namely the unique (up to additive constants) function which scales like the log of a metric on the fibres of L and such that for any/all non-zero section(s) s of L, $\lambda_L \circ s$ is a Néron function on A with divisor $\mathrm{div}(s)$. This is even $\mathbb{Q}$-valued when K is non-archimedean.

Conventions

Notation

Fix (for the rest of the talk) a prime p, a finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$, and an algebraic closure $\overline{K}/K$, determining an absolute Galois group G_K.

Conventions

Notation

Fix (for the rest of the talk) a prime p, a finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$, and an algebraic closure $\overline{K}/K$, determining an absolute Galois group G_K.

Later, we will denote by B_{dR}, B_{st}, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ etc. the usual period rings constructed by Fontaine, and will fix a choice of p-adic logarithm, giving us an embedding $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$.

Generalisation to abelian varieties: the theorem

Generalisation to abelian varieties: the theorem

Theorem (B.)

Let A/K be an abelian variety, $L^\times/A$ the complement of zero in a line bundle L, and U the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-unipotent fundamental group ($\ell \neq p$) of $L^\times$. Then the natural map $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1) \hookrightarrow U$ induces a bijection on H^1, and the composite map

$$L^\times(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

takes values in $\mathbb{Q}$, and is the Néron log-metric on L.*

The p-adic analogue

We will define a certain natural subquotient $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ of the non-abelian Galois cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, allowing us to state a p-adic analogue of the preceding theorem.

The p-adic analogue

We will define a certain natural subquotient $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ of the non-abelian Galois cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, allowing us to state a p-adic analogue of the preceding theorem.

Theorem (B.)

Let A/K be an abelian variety, $L^\times/A$ the complement of zero in a line bundle L, and let U be the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-unipotent fundamental group of $L^\times$. Then U is de Rham, the natural map $\mathbb{Q}_p(1) \hookrightarrow U$ induces a bijection on $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}$, and the composite map

$$L^\times(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is (well-defined and) the Néron log-metric on L.

Local (abelian) Bloch–Kato Selmer groups

- S. Bloch and K. Kato define, for any de Rham representation V of G_K on a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space, subspaces

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V) \leq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, V) \leq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, V)$$

of the Galois cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, V)$.

Local (abelian) Bloch–Kato Selmer groups

- S. Bloch and K. Kato define, for any de Rham representation V of G_K on a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space, subspaces

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V) \leq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, V) \leq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, V)$$

of the Galois cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, V)$.

- Their dimensions are easily computable, and $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V)$ can be studied via an "exponential" exact sequence

$$0 \to V^{G_K} \to \mathsf{D}^{\varphi=1}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \to \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathsf{D}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \to \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V) \to 0.$$

Local (abelian) Bloch–Kato Selmer groups

- S. Bloch and K. Kato define, for any de Rham representation V of G_K on a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space, subspaces
$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V) \leq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, V) \leq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, V)$$
of the Galois cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, V)$.
- Their dimensions are easily computable, and $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V)$ can be studied via an "exponential" exact sequence
$$0 \to V^{G_K} \to \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(V) \to \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathsf{D}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \to \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V) \to 0.$$
- When $V = V_pA$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ Tate module of an abelian variety A/K, these are all equal to the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-span of the image of the Kummer map
$$A(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, V_pA).$$

Local non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets

- Following Kim, we will define, for any de Rham representation $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of G_K on a unipotent group, pointed subsets

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$$

of the non-abelian Galois cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.

Local non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets

- Following Kim, we will define, for any de Rham representation $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of G_K on a unipotent group, pointed subsets

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$$

  of the non-abelian Galois cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.
- We will also make sense of the relative quotients, including $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) = \mathrm{H}^1_g/\mathrm{H}^1_e$, which appears in the p-adic main theorem.

Local non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets

- Following Kim, we will define, for any de Rham representation $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of G_K on a unipotent group, pointed subsets

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$$

  of the non-abelian Galois cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.
- We will also make sense of the relative quotients, including $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) = \mathrm{H}^1_g/\mathrm{H}^1_e$, which appears in the p-adic main theorem.
- $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ can be studied via an "exponential" exact sequence generalising the abelian sequence (see later).

Local non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets

- Following Kim, we will define, for any de Rham representation $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of G_K on a unipotent group, pointed subsets
$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$$
of the non-abelian Galois cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.
- We will also make sense of the relative quotients, including $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) = \mathrm{H}^1_g/\mathrm{H}^1_e$, which appears in the p-adic main theorem.
- $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ can be studied via an "exponential" exact sequence generalising the abelian sequence (see later).
- When U is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ pro-unipotent* fundamental group of a smooth connected variety Y/K (which is de Rham), H^1_g contains the image of the non-abelian Kummer map
$$Y(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)).$$

Galois representations on unipotent groups

Basic definitions

Definition (Galois representations on unipotent groups)

A *representation of G_K on a unipotent group $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$* is an action of G_K on U (by algebraic automorphisms) such that the action on $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is continuous.

Basic definitions

Definition (Galois representations on unipotent groups)

A *representation of G_K on a unipotent group $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$* is an action of G_K on U (by algebraic automorphisms) such that the action on $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is continuous.

We say that U is *de Rham* (resp. semistable, crystalline etc.) just when the following equivalent conditions hold:

Basic definitions

Definition (Galois representations on unipotent groups)

A *representation of G_K on a unipotent group $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$* is an action of G_K on U (by algebraic automorphisms) such that the action on $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is continuous.

We say that U is *de Rham* (resp. semistable, crystalline etc.) just when the following equivalent conditions hold:

- $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$ is de Rham;

Basic definitions

Definition (Galois representations on unipotent groups)

A *representation of* G_K *on a unipotent group* $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is an action of G_K on U (by algebraic automorphisms) such that the action on $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is continuous.

We say that U is *de Rham* (resp. semistable, crystalline etc.) just when the following equivalent conditions hold:

- $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$ is de Rham;
- $\mathcal{O}(U)$ is ind-de Rham;

Basic definitions

Definition (Galois representations on unipotent groups)

A *representation of G_K on a unipotent group $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$* is an action of G_K on U (by algebraic automorphisms) such that the action on $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is continuous.

We say that U is *de Rham* (resp. semistable, crystalline etc.) just when the following equivalent conditions hold:

- $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$ is de Rham;
- $\mathcal{O}(U)$ is ind-de Rham;
- $\dim_K(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(U)$, where $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)/K$ is the unipotent group representing the functor

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(A) := U(A \otimes_K \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}})^{G_K}.$$

Definition (Local non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets)

Let $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a de Rham representation of G_K on a unipotent group. We define pointed subsets

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$$

of the non-abelian cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ to be the kernels

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}))\right);$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}))\right);$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}))\right).$$

Definition (Local non-abelian Bloch–Kato Selmer sets)

Let $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a de Rham representation of G_K on a unipotent group. We define pointed subsets

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$$

of the non-abelian cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ to be the kernels

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}))\right);$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1_f(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}))\right);$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}))\right).$$

One can use B_{dR} in place of B_{st} in the definition of H^1_g.

Definition (Quotients of Bloch–Kato Selmer sets)

Let $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a de Rham representation of G_K on a unipotent group. We denote by $\sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_e}$, $\sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_f}$, $\sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_g}$ the equivalence relations on $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ whose equivalence classes are the fibres of

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}));$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}));$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st}})).$$

Definition (Quotients of Bloch–Kato Selmer sets)

Let $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a de Rham representation of G_K on a unipotent group. We denote by $\sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_e}$, $\sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_f}$, $\sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_g}$ the equivalence relations on $\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ whose equivalence classes are the fibres of

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}));$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}));$$
$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st}})).$$

We then define, for instance, the *Bloch–Kato quotient*

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) := \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))/ \sim_{\mathrm{H}^1_e} .$$

Why a cosimplicial approach?

The abelian Bloch–Kato exponential for a de Rham representation V arises from tensoring it with the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \to 0$$

and taking the long exact sequence in Galois cohomology.

Why a cosimplicial approach?

The abelian Bloch–Kato exponential for a de Rham representation V arises from tensoring it with the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \to 0$$

and taking the long exact sequence in Galois cohomology. Equivalently, if we consider the cochain complex

$$\mathsf{C}_e^\bullet : \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+},$$

then the cohomology groups of the cochain $(\mathsf{C}_e^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ are canonically identified as

$$\mathrm{H}^j\left((\mathsf{C}_e^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}\right) \cong \begin{cases} V^{G_K} & j=0; \\ \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, V) & j=1; \\ 0 & j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The advantage of using cochain complexes is that we can perform analogous constructions for H^1_f and H^1_g. For instance, taking the cochain complex

$$\mathsf{C}_g^\bullet : \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{st}} \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \to \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{st}},$$

the cohomology groups of the cochain $(\mathsf{C}_g^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ are canonically identified as

$$\mathrm{H}^j\left((\mathsf{C}_g^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}\right) \cong \begin{cases} V^{G_K} & j = 0; \\ \mathrm{H}^1_g(G_K, V) & j = 1; \\ \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(V^*(1))^* & j = 2; \\ 0 & j \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The cochain complexes $C_e^\bullet$, $C_f^\bullet$, $C_g^\bullet$ themselves cannot be directly be used in the non-abelian setting (as we cannot tensor a group by a vector space), so we have to tweak them slightly to find a non-abelian generalisation of the Bloch–Kato exponential.

The cochain complexes $C^\bullet_e$, $C^\bullet_f$, $C^\bullet_g$ themselves cannot be directly be used in the non-abelian setting (as we cannot tensor a group by a vector space), so we have to tweak them slightly to find a non-abelian generalisation of the Bloch–Kato exponential.

For example, in place of $C^\bullet_e$, we consider the diagram

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \times \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \rightrightarrows \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras.

The cochain complexes $C^\bullet_e$, $C^\bullet_f$, $C^\bullet_g$ themselves cannot be directly be used in the non-abelian setting (as we cannot tensor a group by a vector space), so we have to tweak them slightly to find a non-abelian generalisation of the Bloch–Kato exponential.

For example, in place of $C^\bullet_e$, we consider the diagram

$$B_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \times B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightrightarrows B_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras. Taking points in U and then G_K-fixed points, we then obtain the diagram

$$D_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(U)(K) \rightrightarrows D_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K).$$

The cochain complexes $\mathrm{C}_e^\bullet$, $\mathrm{C}_f^\bullet$, $\mathrm{C}_g^\bullet$ themselves cannot be directly be used in the non-abelian setting (as we cannot tensor a group by a vector space), so we have to tweak them slightly to find a non-abelian generalisation of the Bloch–Kato exponential.

For example, in place of $\mathrm{C}_e^\bullet$, we consider the diagram

$$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \rightrightarrows \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras. Taking points in U and then G_K-fixed points, we then obtain the diagram

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+}(U)(K) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K).$$

There is an action of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+}(U)(K)$ on $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K)$ by $(x, y)\colon z \mapsto y^{-1}zx$ – we will see later that the orbit space is canonically identified with $\mathrm{H}_e^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.

Non-abelian analogy

In order to extend the study of local Bloch–Kato Selmer groups to the non-abelian context, we need to replace three abelian concepts with non-abelian analogues.

Non-abelian analogy

In order to extend the study of local Bloch–Kato Selmer groups to the non-abelian context, we need to replace three abelian concepts with non-abelian analogues.

- In place of the cochain complexes $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_*$ of G_K-representations, we will use *cosimplicial* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-algebras* $\mathrm{B}^\bullet_*$ with G_K-action.

Non-abelian analogy

In order to extend the study of local Bloch–Kato Selmer groups to the non-abelian context, we need to replace three abelian concepts with non-abelian analogues.

- In place of the cochain complexes $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_*$ of G_K-representations, we will use *cosimplicial* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-algebras* $\mathrm{B}^\bullet_*$ with G_K-action.
- In place of the cochain complexes $(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$, we will examine the *cosimplicial groups* $U(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_*)^{G_K}$.

Non-abelian analogy

In order to extend the study of local Bloch–Kato Selmer groups to the non-abelian context, we need to replace three abelian concepts with non-abelian analogues.

- In place of the cochain complexes $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_*$ of G_K-representations, we will use *cosimplicial* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-algebras* $\mathrm{B}^\bullet_*$ with G_K-action.
- In place of the cochain complexes $(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$, we will examine the *cosimplicial groups* $U(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_*)^{G_K}$.
- In place of the cohomology groups of these cochain complexes, we will calculate the *cohomotopy groups/sets* of the corresponding cosimplicial groups.

Cosimplicial groups

Definition (Cosimplicial objects)

A *cosimplicial object* of a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor $X^\bullet\colon \Delta \to \mathcal{C}$ from the simplex category Δ of non-empty finite ordinals and order-preserving maps. We think of this as a collection of objects X^n together with *coface* maps $d^\bullet$

$$X^0 \rightrightarrows X^1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\rightrightarrows} X^2 \cdots$$

and *codegeneracy* maps $s^\bullet$

$$X^0 \leftarrow X^1 \leftleftarrows X^2 \cdots$$

satisfying certain identities.

Definition (Cohomotopy groups/sets)

Let $U^\bullet$ be a cosimplicial group

$$U^0 \rightrightarrows U^1 \substack{\rightarrow\\\rightarrow\\\rightarrow} U^2 \cdots .$$

We define the 0*th cohomotopy group* $\pi^0(U^\bullet)$ to be

$$\pi^0(U^\bullet) := \{u^0 \in U^0 \mid d^0(u^0) = d^1(u^0)\} \leq U^0.$$

Definition (Cohomotopy groups/sets)

Let $U^\bullet$ be a cosimplicial group

$$U^0 \rightrightarrows U^1 \rightrightarrows U^2 \cdots .$$

We define the 0*th cohomotopy group* $\pi^0(U^\bullet)$ to be

$$\pi^0(U^\bullet) := \{u^0 \in U^0 \mid d^0(u^0) = d^1(u^0)\} \leq U^0.$$

We also define the pointed set of 1-*cocycles* to be

$$\mathrm{Z}^1(U^\bullet) := \{u^1 \in U^1 \mid d^1(u^1) = d^2(u^1)d^0(u^1)\} \subseteq U^1$$

Definition (Cohomotopy groups/sets)

Let $U^\bullet$ be a cosimplicial group

$$U^0 \rightrightarrows U^1 \substack{\rightarrow\\\rightarrow\\\rightarrow} U^2 \cdots .$$

We define the 0*th cohomotopy group* $\pi^0(U^\bullet)$ to be

$$\pi^0(U^\bullet) := \{u^0 \in U^0 \mid d^0(u^0) = d^1(u^0)\} \leq U^0.$$

We also define the pointed set of 1-*cocycles* to be

$$\mathrm{Z}^1(U^\bullet) := \{u^1 \in U^1 \mid d^1(u^1) = d^2(u^1)d^0(u^1)\} \subseteq U^1$$

and the 1*st cohomotopy (pointed) set* $\pi^1(U^\bullet) := \mathrm{Z}^1(U^\bullet)/U^0$ to be the quotient of $\mathrm{Z}^1(U^\bullet)$ by the twisted conjugation action of U^0, given by $u^0 \colon u^1 \mapsto d^1(u^0)^{-1}u^1d^0(u^0)$.

Definition (Cohomotopy groups/sets (cont.))

When $U^\bullet$ is abelian, $\pi^0(U^\bullet)$ and $\pi^1(U^\bullet)$ are abelian groups, and we can define the higher cohomotopy groups $\pi^j(U^\bullet)$ to be the cohomology groups of the cochain complex

$$U^0 \to U^1 \to U^2 \cdots$$

with differential $\sum_k (-1)^k d^k$.

Definition (Cohomotopy groups/sets (cont.))

When $U^\bullet$ is abelian, $\pi^0(U^\bullet)$ and $\pi^1(U^\bullet)$ are abelian groups, and we can define the higher cohomotopy groups $\pi^j(U^\bullet)$ to be the cohomology groups of the cochain complex

$$U^0 \to U^1 \to U^2 \cdots$$

with differential $\sum_k (-1)^k d^k$.

Example (Non-abelian group cohomology)

Suppose G is a topological group acting continuously on another topological group U. Then $\mathrm{C}^n(G,U) := \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G^n,U)$ can be given the structure of a cosimplicial group. Its cohomotopy $\pi^j(\mathrm{C}^\bullet(G,U))$ is canonically identified with the group cohomology $\mathrm{H}^j(G,U)$ for $j=0,1$, and for all j when U is abelian.

Long exact sequences in cohomotopy

Notation

When we assert that a sequence

$$\cdots \to U^{r-1} \to U^r \overset{\curvearrowright}{\to} U^{r+1} \to U^{r+2} \to \cdots$$

is *exact*, we shall mean that:

- $\cdots \to U^{r-1} \to U^r$ is an exact sequence of groups (and group homomorphisms);
- $U^{r+1} \to U^{r+2} \to \cdots$ is an exact sequence of pointed sets;
- there is an action of U^r on U^{r+1} whose orbits are the fibres of $U^{r+1} \to U^{r+2}$, and whose point-stabiliser is the image of $U^{r-1} \to U^r$.

Cosimplicial groups give us many ways of producing long exact sequences of groups and pointed sets. For example:

Theorem (Bousfield–Kan, 1972)

Let

$$1 \to Z^\bullet \to U^\bullet \to Q^\bullet \to 1$$

be a central extension of cosimplicial groups. Then there is a cohomotopy exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi^0(Z^\bullet) \longrightarrow \pi^0(U^\bullet) \longrightarrow \pi^0(Q^\bullet) \longrightarrow \pi^1(Z^\bullet) \curvearrowright \pi^1(U^\bullet) \longrightarrow \pi^1(Q^\bullet) \longrightarrow \pi^2(Z^\bullet).$$

Cosimplicial Bloch–Kato theory

The cosimplicial models

Our general method for studying local Bloch–Kato Selmer sets and their quotients will be to define various cosimplicial $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras $\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet$, $\mathrm{B}_f^\bullet$, $\mathrm{B}_g^\bullet$, $\mathrm{B}_{g/e}^\bullet$, $\mathrm{B}_{f/e}^\bullet$ with G_K-action such that, for any de Rham representation of G_K on a unipotent group $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have a canonical identification

$$\pi^1\left(U(\mathrm{B}_*^\bullet)^{G_K}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^1_*(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)).$$

Cohomotopy of the cosimplicial Dieudonné functors

In fact, we can give a complete description of the cohomotopy groups/sets of each $U(\mathrm{B}_*^\bullet)^{G_K}$.

Cohomotopy of the cosimplicial Dieudonné functors

In fact, we can give a complete description of the cohomotopy groups/sets of each $U(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_*)^{G_K}$. For instance, we have

$$\pi^j\left(U(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_e)^{G_K}\right) \cong \begin{cases} U(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{G_K} & j = 0; \\ \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) & j = 1; \\ 0 & j \geq 2 \text{ and } U \text{ abelian}; \end{cases}$$

Cohomotopy of the cosimplicial Dieudonné functors

In fact, we can give a complete description of the cohomotopy groups/sets of each $U(\mathrm{B}_*^\bullet)^{G_K}$. For instance, we have

$$\pi^j\left(U(\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet)^{G_K}\right) \cong \begin{cases} U(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{G_K} & j=0; \\ \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) & j=1; \\ 0 & j \geq 2 \text{ and } U \text{ abelian}; \end{cases}$$

$$\pi^j\left(U(\mathrm{B}_{g/e}^\bullet)^{G_K}\right) \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) & j=0; \\ \mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) & j=1; \\ \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U(\mathbb{Q}_p)^*(1))^* & j=2 \text{ and } U \text{ abelian}; \\ 0 & j \geq 3 \text{ and } U \text{ abelian}. \end{cases}$$

Construction of Bloch–Kato algebras

The cosimplicial algebras required to make this work are all built from standard period rings.

Construction of Bloch–Kato algebras

The cosimplicial algebras required to make this work are all built from standard period rings. For example, the diagram

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \times \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \rightrightarrows \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

(which we saw earlier) is a semi-cosimplicial $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra (that is, a cosimplicial algebra without codegeneracy maps).

Construction of Bloch–Kato algebras

The cosimplicial algebras required to make this work are all built from standard period rings. For example, the diagram

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \times \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \rightrightarrows \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

(which we saw earlier) is a semi-cosimplicial $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra (that is, a cosimplicial algebra without codegeneracy maps). $\mathsf{B}_e^\bullet$ is then the universal cosimplicial $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra mapping to this semi-cosimplicial algebra (the cosimplicial algebra cogenerated by it). Concretely, this has terms

$$\mathsf{B}_e^n = \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \times \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+} \times \mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{n}.$$

The non-abelian Bloch–Kato exponential

The description of the cohomotopy of $U(\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet)^{G_K}$ in degrees 0 and 1 is equivalent to the existence of a *non-abelian exponential exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{G_K} \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+}(U)(K) \curvearrowright \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The non-abelian Bloch–Kato exponential

The description of the cohomotopy of $U(\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet)^{G_K}$ in degrees 0 and 1 is equivalent to the existence of a *non-abelian exponential exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{G_K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+}(U)(K) \curvearrowright \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Remark

Concretely, the exponential exact sequence provides a canonical identification of H^1_e as a double-coset space

$$\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p)\backslash \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K)/\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{+}(U)(K).$$

Construction of the non-abelian Bloch–Kato exponential

By induction along the central series of U, we see quickly that $\pi^0\left(U(\mathsf{B}_e^\bullet)\right) = U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\pi^1\left(U(\mathsf{B}_e^\bullet)\right) = 1$. Unpacking the definition of $\mathsf{B}_e^\bullet$, this says that

$$1 \to U(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}) \times U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \overset{\curvearrowright}{\to} U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}) \to 1$$

is exact (i.e. the action is transitive with point-stabiliser $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$).

Construction of the non-abelian Bloch–Kato exponential

By induction along the central series of U, we see quickly that $\pi^0(U(\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet)) = U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\pi^1(U(\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet)) = 1$. Unpacking the definition of $\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet$, this says that

$$1 \to U(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}) \times U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \curvearrowright U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}) \to 1$$

is exact (i.e. the action is transitive with point-stabiliser $U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$). We then obtain a long exact sequence in Galois cohomology

$$1 \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{G_K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(U)(K) \curvearrowright$$

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(U)(K) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}) \times U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)),$$

which is already most of the desired exponential sequence.

Construction of the non-abelian Bloch–Kato exponential (cont.)

It remains to show that the image of exp is exactly $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. The exact sequence shows that the image is exactly the kernel of

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1})) \times \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathsf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)),$$

which certainly is contained in $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.

Construction of the non-abelian Bloch–Kato exponential (cont.)

It remains to show that the image of exp is exactly $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. The exact sequence shows that the image is exactly the kernel of

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1})) \times \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)),$$

which certainly is contained in $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.

It is then not too hard to prove that in fact the kernel is exactly $\mathrm{H}^1_e(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, using the fact that the map

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_K, U(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}))$$

has trivial kernel (we omit the diagram-chase in the interests of brevity). This establishes the desired exact sequence, and hence the description of the cohomotopy of $U(\mathrm{B}_e^\bullet)^{G_K}$. □

Calculating $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p))$

Lemma

Let

$$1 \to Z \to U \to Q \to 1$$

be a central extension of de Rham representations of G_K on unipotent groups over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(Z)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{z} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(U)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(Q)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow$$

$$\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, Z(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \xrightarrow{\curvearrowright} \mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, Q(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \longrightarrow$$

$$\hookrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=1}(Z(\mathbb{Q}_p)^*(1))^*.$$

Proof of lemma.

From the construction of $\mathrm{B}^\bullet_{g/e}$ (out of B_{st}), it follows that

$$1 \to Z(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_{g/e})^{G_K} \to U(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_{g/e})^{G_K} \to Q(\mathrm{B}^\bullet_{g/e})^{G_K} \to 1$$

is a central extension of cosimplicial groups. The desired exact sequence is then the cohomotopy exact sequence for these cosimplicial groups. □

If, as in the main theorem, $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-unipotent fundamental group of $L^\times = L \setminus 0$, where L is a line bundle on an abelian variety A/K, then U is a central extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \to U \to V_pA \to 1.$$

If, as in the main theorem, $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-unipotent fundamental group of $L^\times = L \setminus 0$, where L is a line bundle on an abelian variety A/K, then U is a central extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \to U \to V_pA \to 1.$$

Applying the preceding lemma shows that $\mathbb{Q}_p(1) \hookrightarrow U$ induces a bijection on $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}$, so that $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$.

If, as in the main theorem, $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-unipotent fundamental group of $L^\times = L \setminus 0$, where L is a line bundle on an abelian variety A/K, then U is a central extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \to U \to V_pA \to 1.$$

Applying the preceding lemma shows that $\mathbb{Q}_p(1) \hookrightarrow U$ induces a bijection on $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}$, so that $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}(G_K, U(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Showing that the $\mathrm{H}^1_{g/e}$-valued non-abelian Kummer map is then identified with the Néron log-metric requires some extra work, but is largely straightforward.

Questions or comments?

Archimedean analogue

Theorem (B.)

Let $A/\mathbb{C}$ be an abelian variety, $L^\times/A$ the complement of zero in a line bundle L, and let $U = \mathbb{R} \otimes \pi_1(L^\times(\mathbb{C}))$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-unipotent Betti fundamental group of $L^\times$, endowed with its $\mathbb{R}$-mixed Hodge structure. Then the natural map $\mathbb{R}(1) \to U$ induces a bijection on H^1, and the composite map

$$L^\times(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$$

is the Néron log-metric on L.
Here $\mathrm{H}^1(U)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of U-torsors with compatible $\mathbb{R}$-mixed Hodge structure.