An abstract formalism for strategical Ramsey theory

Noé de Rancourt

Université Paris VII, IMJ-PRG

Workshop “Unifying Themes in Ramsey Theory”

BIRS, November 23, 2018

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory and the pigeonhole principle

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory is about coloring infinite sequences of objects, and finding monochromatic subspaces.

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory and the pigeonhole principle

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory is about coloring infinite sequences of objects, and finding monochromatic subspaces.

Theorem (Silver)

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an analytic set of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite such that:

- *either for every infinite $A \subseteq M$, we have $A \in \mathcal{X}$;*
- *or for every infinite $A \subseteq M$, we have $A \notin \mathcal{X}$.*

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory and the pigeonhole principle

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory is about coloring infinite sequences of objects, and finding monochromatic subspaces.

Theorem (Silver)

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an analytic set of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite such that:

- *either for every infinite $A \subseteq M$, we have $A \in \mathcal{X}$;*
- *or for every infinite $A \subseteq M$, we have $A \notin \mathcal{X}$.*

Here, the set M is generally viewed as a element of a forcing poset, whereas the set A is viewed as an increasing sequence of integers.

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory and the pigeonhole principle

Fix k an at most countable field. Let $E = k^{(\mathbb{N})}$ be the countably infinite-dimensional vector space over k, with canonical basis $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Recall that a block-sequence of E is a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonzero successive vectors of E, i.e. such that $\mathrm{supp}(x_0) < \mathrm{supp}(x_1) < \ldots$ (where $\mathrm{supp}(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i e_i) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_i \neq 0\}$).

Infinite-dimensional Ramsey theory and the pigeonhole principle

Fix k an at most countable field. Let $E = k^{(\mathbb{N})}$ be the countably infinite-dimensional vector space over k, with canonical basis $(e_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Recall that a block-sequence of E is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of nonzero successive vectors of E, i.e. such that $\mathrm{supp}(x_0) < \mathrm{supp}(x_1) < \ldots$ (where $\mathrm{supp}(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} a_i e_i) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_i \neq 0\}$).

Theorem (Milliken)

Suppose $k = \mathbb{F}_2$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an analytic set of block-sequences of E. Then there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace F of E such that:

- *either every block-sequence of F belongs to $\mathcal{X}$;*
- *or every block-sequence of F belongs to $\mathcal{X}^c$.*

A pigeonhole principle is a one-dimensional Ramsey result, i.e. a Ramsey result where you color objects.

A pigeonhole principle is a one-dimensional Ramsey result, i.e. a Ramsey result where you color objects. Every infinite-dimensional Ramsey result has an associated pigeonhole principle, which is obtained by coloring sequences according to their first term.

A pigeonhole principle is a one-dimensional Ramsey result, i.e. a Ramsey result where you color objects. Every infinite-dimensional Ramsey result has an associated pigeonhole principle, which is obtained by coloring sequences according to their first term.

The pigeonhole principle associated to Silver's theorem is the following: for every coloring of the integers with two colors, there exists an infinite monochromatic subset.

A pigeonhole principle is a one-dimensional Ramsey result, i.e. a Ramsey result where you color objects. Every infinite-dimensional Ramsey result has an associated pigeonhole principle, which is obtained by coloring sequences according to their first term.

The pigeonhole principle associated to Silver's theorem is the following: for every coloring of the integers with two colors, there exists an infinite monochromatic subset.

The pigeonhole principle associated to Milliken's theorem is:

Theorem (Hindman)

Suppose $k = \mathbb{F}_2$. For every $A \subseteq E \setminus \{0\}$, there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace F of E such that either $F \setminus \{0\} \subseteq A$, or $F \setminus \{0\} \subseteq A^c$.

A pigeonhole principle is a one-dimensional Ramsey result, i.e. a Ramsey result where you color objects. Every infinite-dimensional Ramsey result has an associated pigeonhole principle, which is obtained by coloring sequences according to their first term.

The pigeonhole principle associated to Silver's theorem is the following: for every coloring of the integers with two colors, there exists an infinite monochromatic subset.

The pigeonhole principle associated to Milliken's theorem is:

Theorem (Hindman)

Suppose $k = \mathbb{F}_2$. For every $A \subseteq E \backslash \{0\}$, there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace F of E such that either $F \backslash \{0\} \subseteq A$, or $F \backslash \{0\} \subseteq A^c$.

Can we still get something interesting without pigeonhole principle?

The formalism of Gowers spaces

Let P be a set (the set of subspaces) and $\leqslant$ and $\leqslant^*$ be two quasi-orderings on P, satisfying:

1. for every $p, q \in P$, if $p \leqslant q$, then $p \leqslant^* q$;
2. for every $p, q \in P$, if $p \leqslant^* q$, then there exists $r \in P$ such that $r \leqslant p$, $r \leqslant q$ and $p \leqslant^* r$;
3. for every $\leqslant$-decreasing sequence $(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of P, there exists $p^* \in P$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $p^* \leqslant^* p_i$;

Write $p \lessapprox q$ for $p \leqslant q$ and $q \leqslant^* p$.

The formalism of Gowers spaces

Let P be a set (the set of subspaces) and $\leqslant$ and $\leqslant^*$ be two quasi-orderings on P, satisfying:

1. for every $p, q \in P$, if $p \leqslant q$, then $p \leqslant^* q$;
2. for every $p, q \in P$, if $p \leqslant^* q$, then there exists $r \in P$ such that $r \leqslant p$, $r \leqslant q$ and $p \leqslant^* r$;
3. for every $\leqslant$-decreasing sequence $(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of P, there exists $p^* \in P$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $p^* \leqslant^* p_i$;

Write $p \lessapprox q$ for $p \leqslant q$ and $q \leqslant^* p$.

Let X be an at most countable set (the set of points) and $\lhd \subseteq X \times P$ a binary relation, satisfying:

4. for every $p \in P$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x \lhd p$.
5. for every $x \in X$ and every $p, q \in P$, if $x \lhd p$ and $p \leqslant q$, then $x \lhd q$.

The formalism of Gowers spaces

Let P be a set (the set of subspaces) and $\leqslant$ and $\leqslant^*$ be two quasi-orderings on P, satisfying:

1. for every $p, q \in P$, if $p \leqslant q$, then $p \leqslant^* q$;
2. for every $p, q \in P$, if $p \leqslant^* q$, then there exists $r \in P$ such that $r \leqslant p$, $r \leqslant q$ and $p \leqslant^* r$;
3. for every $\leqslant$-decreasing sequence $(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of P, there exists $p^* \in P$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $p^* \leqslant^* p_i$;

Write $p \lessapprox q$ for $p \leqslant q$ and $q \leqslant^* p$.

Let X be an at most countable set (the set of points) and $\lhd \subseteq X \times P$ a binary relation, satisfying:

4. for every $p \in P$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x \lhd p$.
5. for every $x \in X$ and every $p, q \in P$, if $x \lhd p$ and $p \leqslant q$, then $x \lhd q$.

The quintuple $\mathcal{G} = (P, X, \leqslant, \leqslant^*, \lhd)$ is called a Gowers space.

1. The Silver space:
   - $X = \mathbb{N}$;
   - P is the set of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$;
   - $\leqslant$ is the inclusion;
   - $\leqslant^*$ is the inclusion-by-finite;
   - $\lhd$ the membership relation.

1. The Silver space:
   - $X = \mathbb{N}$;
   - P is the set of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$;
   - $\leqslant$ is the inclusion;
   - $\leqslant^*$ is the inclusion-by-finite;
   - $\lhd$ the membership relation.

2. The Rosendal space over an at most countable field k:
   - $X = E$ is a countably-infinite-dimensional vector space over k;
   - P is the set of infinite-dimensional subspaces of E;
   - $\leqslant$ is the inclusion;
   - $\leqslant^*$ is the inclusion up to finite dimension ($F \leqslant^* G$ iff $F \cap G$ has finite codimension in F);
   - $\lhd$ is the membership relation.

Definition

The space $\mathcal{G}$ is said to satisfy the pigeonhole principle if for every $A \subseteq X$ and every $p \in P$, there exists $q \leqslant p$ such that either for all $x \lhd q$, we have $x \in A$, or for all $x \lhd q$, we have $x \in A^c$.

Asymptotic games

Definition

Let $p \in P$. The asymptotic game below p, denoted by F_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \lessapprox p$		$p_1 \lessapprox p$		$\ldots$	
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$		$\ldots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Asymptotic games

Definition

Let $p \in P$. The asymptotic game below p, denoted by F_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \lessapprox p$		$p_1 \lessapprox p$		$\dots$	
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$		$\dots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Saying that **I** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}}$ in F_p means that "almost every" sequence below p belongs to $\mathcal{X}$.

Asymptotic games

Definition

Let $p \in P$. The asymptotic game below p, denoted by F_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \lessapprox p$		$p_1 \lessapprox p$		$\ldots$	
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$		$\ldots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Saying that **I** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}}$ in F_p means that "almost every" sequence below p belongs to $\mathcal{X}$.

In the Silver space, we have the following:

Proposition

If $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is such that **I** *has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in F_M, then there exists $N \subseteq M$ infinite such that every increasing sequence of elements of N belongs to $\mathcal{X}$.*

The abstract Silver's theorem

So this is an equivalent formulation of Silver's theorem:

Theorem

For every analytic $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite such that:

- *either* **I** *has a strategy in F_M to reach $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or* **I** *has a strategy in F_M to reach $\mathcal{X}$.*

The abstract Silver's theorem

So this is an equivalent formulation of Silver's theorem:

Theorem

For every analytic $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite such that:

- *either* **I** *has a strategy in F_M to reach $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or* **I** *has a strategy in F_M to reach $\mathcal{X}$.*

In general, we have:

Theorem (Abstract Silver's)

Suppose that the space $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the pigeonhole principle. Let $p \in P$ and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}}$ be analytic. Then there exists $q \leqslant p$ such that:

- *either* **I** *has a strategy in F_q to reach $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or* **I** *has a strategy in F_q to reach $\mathcal{X}$.*

Gowers' games and the abstract Rosendal's theorem

Definition

Let $p \in P$. Gowers' game below p, denoted by G_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \leqslant p$		$p_1 \leqslant p$		$\ldots$	
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$		$\ldots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Gowers' games and the abstract Rosendal's theorem

Definition

Let $p \in P$. Gowers' game below p, denoted by G_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \leqslant p$		$p_1 \leqslant p$		$\dots$
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$	$\dots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

We have the following implication : if **I** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in F_p, then **II** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in G_p.

Gowers' games and the abstract Rosendal's theorem

Definition

Let $p \in P$. Gowers' game below p, denoted by G_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \leqslant p$		$p_1 \leqslant p$		$\ldots$	
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$		$\ldots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

We have the following implication : if **I** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in F_p, then **II** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in G_p. Under the pigeonhole principle, the converse is true up to taking a subspace.

Gowers' games and the abstract Rosendal's theorem

Definition

Let $p \in P$. Gowers' game below p, denoted by G_p, is the following two-players game:

I	$p_0 \leqslant p$		$p_1 \leqslant p$		$\dots$	
II		$x_0 \lhd p_0$		$x_1 \lhd p_1$		$\dots,$

The outcome of the game is the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

We have the following implication : if **I** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in F_p, then **II** has a strategy to reach $\mathcal{X}$ in G_p. Under the pigeonhole principle, the converse is true up to taking a subspace.

Theorem (Abstract Rosendal's)

Let $p \in P$ and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}}$ be analytic. Then there exists $q \leqslant p$ such that:

- *either* **I** *has a strategy in F_q to reach $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or* **II** *has a strategy in G_q to reach $\mathcal{X}$.*

Local Ramsey theory in Gowers spaces

Gowers spaces are great for doing local Ramsey theory. If X is an (algebraic) structure with a natural notion of subspaces, then you can define a Gowers space by taking for P more or less any subfamily of the family of subspaces provided we can diagonalize among this subfamily.

Local Ramsey theory in Gowers spaces

Gowers spaces are great for doing local Ramsey theory. If X is an (algebraic) structure with a natural notion of subspaces, then you can define a Gowers space by taking for P more or less any subfamily of the family of subspaces provided we can diagonalize among this subfamily.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a nonempty family of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. We say that:

- $\mathcal{F}$ is a *p-family* if it is $\mathbf{E_0}$-invariant and if for every decreasing sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $\mathcal{F}$, there exists $A^* \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A^* \subseteq^* A_n$;
- $\mathcal{F}$ is *selective* if it is a p-family and if moreover, the set A^* can be choosen in such a way that for every $n \in A^*$, $A^*/n \subseteq A_n$ (where $A^*/n = \{k \in A^* \mid k > n\}$).

Local Ramsey theory in Gowers spaces

Fix $\mathcal{F}$ a *p*-family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{N}, \subseteq, \subseteq^*, \in)$ is a Gowers space.

Local Ramsey theory in Gowers spaces

Fix $\mathcal{F}$ a p-family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{N}, \subseteq, \subseteq^*, \in)$ is a Gowers space.

Corollary

Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be analytic. Then there exists $M \in \mathcal{F}$ such that:

- *either* **I** *has a strategy in F_M to reach $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or* **II** *has a strategy in G_M to reach $\mathcal{X}$.*

Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is selective, then the first possible conclusion can be replaced by "$[M]^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{X}^c$".

Beware, here in G_M, player **I** can only play elements of $\mathcal{F}$!

Local Ramsey theory in Gowers spaces

Fix $\mathcal{F}$ a p-family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{N}, \subseteq, \subseteq^*, \in)$ is a Gowers space.

Corollary

Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be analytic. Then there exists $M \in \mathcal{F}$ such that:

- *either* **I** *has a strategy in F_M to reach $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or* **II** *has a strategy in G_M to reach $\mathcal{X}$.*

Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is selective, then the first possible conclusion can be replaced by "$[M]^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{X}^c$".

Beware, here in G_M, player **I** can only play elements of $\mathcal{F}$!

Corollary (Mathias)

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a selective coideal on $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$ be analytic. Then there exists $M \in \mathcal{H}$ such that either $[M]^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{X}^c$, or $[M]^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

What about Banach spaces ?

What follows is part of a common work with W. Cuellar-Carrera and V. Ferenczi.

What about Banach spaces ?

What follows is part of a common work with W. Cuellar-Carrera and V. Ferenczi.

On $\mathbb{N}$, F_σ ideals are p-ideals. The same phenomenon appears in Banach spaces.

What about Banach spaces ?

What follows is part of a common work with W. Cuellar-Carrera and V. Ferenczi.

On $\mathbb{N}$, F_σ ideals are p-ideals. The same phenomenon appears in Banach spaces.

Fix X a Banach space. We denote by $\mathrm{Sub}(X)$ the set of infinite-dimensional subspaces of X. We endow $\mathrm{Sub}(X)$ with the slice topology, i.e. the topology such that (Y_λ) converges to Y iff for every equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ and for every $x \in X$, the norm of x in the quotient $(X, |||\cdot|||)/Y_\lambda$ coverges to the norm of x in the quotient $(X, |||\cdot|||)/Y$.

What about Banach spaces ?

What follows is part of a common work with W. Cuellar-Carrera and V. Ferenczi.

On $\mathbb{N}$, F_σ ideals are p-ideals. The same phenomenon appears in Banach spaces.

Fix X a Banach space. We denote by $\mathrm{Sub}(X)$ the set of infinite-dimensional subspaces of X. We endow $\mathrm{Sub}(X)$ with the slice topology, i.e. the topology such that (Y_λ) converges to Y iff for every equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ and for every $x \in X$, the norm of x in the quotient $(X, |||\cdot|||)/Y_\lambda$ coverges to the norm of x in the quotient $(X, |||\cdot|||)/Y$.

Theorem

Let $P \subseteq \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ be a slice-G_δ subset, invariant under isomorphism. Then $(P, S_X, \subseteq, \subseteq^*, \in)$ is an (uncountable) Gowers space.

What about Banach spaces ?

Definition

A finite-dimensional decomposition (FDD) of a Banach space Y is a sequence $(F_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of Y such that every $x \in Y$ can be written in a unique way as a sum $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i$, where for every i, $x_i \in F_i$.

A block-sequence of the FDD (F_i) is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in N}$ of normalized successive vectors for this FDD (i.e. there exists $A_0 < A_1 < A_2 < \ldots$ sets of integers such that for every n, $x_n \in \bigoplus_{i\in A_n} F_i$).

What about Banach spaces ?

Definition

A finite-dimensional decomposition (FDD) of a Banach space Y is a sequence $(F_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of Y such that every $x \in Y$ can be written in a unique way as a sum $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i$, where for every i, $x_i \in F_i$.

A block-sequence of the FDD (F_i) is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in N}$ of normalized successive vectors for this FDD (i.e. there exists $A_0 < A_1 < A_2 < \ldots$ sets of integers such that for every n, $x_n \in \bigoplus_{i\in A_n} F_i$).

Definition

Given $\mathcal{X} \subseteq (S_X)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Delta = (\Delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of positive real numbers, we let $(\mathcal{X})_\Delta = \{(y_n) \in (S_X)^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists (x_n) \in \mathcal{X}\ \forall n\ \|x_n - y_n\| \leqslant \Delta_n\}$.

What about Banach spaces ?

Corollary

Let $P \subseteq \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ be a slice-G_δ subset, invariant under isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq (S_X)^{\mathbb{N}}$ be analytic, and let Δ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then there exists $Y \in P$ such that:

- *either Y has a FDD (F_n) such that every subsequence of (F_n) generates an element of P, and such that every block-sequence of (F_n) is in $\mathcal{X}^c$;*
- *or **II** has a winning strategy in G_Y to reach $(\mathcal{X})_\Delta$ (where in G_Y, player **I** is only allowed to play elements of P).*

An example

The condition of being slice-G_δ is typically satisfied for families of Banach spaces that can be defined by conditions on finite-dimensional subspaces.

An example

The condition of being slice-G_δ is typically satisfied for families of Banach spaces that can be defined by conditions on finite-dimensional subspaces.

Lemma

A Banach space X is non-Hilbertian iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subseteq X$ that is not n-isomorphic to a Euclidean space.

An example

The condition of being slice-G_δ is typically satisfied for families of Banach spaces that can be defined by conditions on finite-dimensional subspaces.

Lemma

A Banach space X is non-Hilbertian iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subseteq X$ that is not n-isomorphic to a Euclidean space. In particular, the family of non-Hilbertian spaces is slice-G_δ.

An example

The condition of being slice-G_δ is typically satisfied for families of Banach spaces that can be defined by conditions on finite-dimensional subspaces.

Lemma

A Banach space X is non-Hilbertian iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subseteq X$ that is not n-isomorphic to a Euclidean space. In particular, the family of non-Hilbertian spaces is slice-G_δ.

Question

Does there exist similar examples in other areas of mathematics?

Thank you for your attention!