

Rigid automorphisms of linking systems

Justin Lynd

University of Louisiana
lynd@louisiana.edu

August 29, 2019

Groups and Geometries
BIRS

Prelude: Automorphisms centralizing a Sylow p -subgroup

- + G finite, p prime, S Sylow p -subgroup
- + $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$ of p -power order

Prelude: Automorphisms centralizing a Sylow p -subgroup

- + G finite, p prime, S Sylow p -subgroup
- + $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$ of p -power order

Question 14.1 (Kourkovka Notebook, 1999)

If $p = 2$ and $O_{2'}(G) = 1$, is α^2 inner?

Prelude: Automorphisms centralizing a Sylow p -subgroup

- + G finite, p prime, S Sylow p -subgroup
- + $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$ of p -power order

Question 14.1 (Kourkovka Notebook, 1999)

If $p = 2$ and $O_{2'}(G) = 1$, is α^2 inner?

Theorem (Glauberman, 1968)

Yes.

Prelude: Automorphisms centralizing a Sylow p -subgroup

- + G finite, p prime, S Sylow p -subgroup
- + $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$ of p -power order

Question 14.1 (Kourkovka Notebook, 1999)

If $p = 2$ and $O_{2'}(G) = 1$, is α^2 inner?

Theorem (Glauberman, 1968)

Yes.

Theorem (Gross, 1982)

If p odd and $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then α is inner, provided also $O_p(G) = 1$.

→ Uses CFSG.

Prelude: Automorphisms centralizing a Sylow p -subgroup

- ✦ G finite, p prime, S Sylow p -subgroup
- ✦ $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$ of p -power order

Question 14.1 (Kourkovka Notebook, 1999)

If $p = 2$ and $O_{2'}(G) = 1$, is α^2 inner?

Theorem (Glauberman, 1968)

Yes.

Theorem (Gross, 1982)

If p odd and $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then α is inner, provided also $O_p(G) = 1$.

→ Uses CFSG.

Theorem (Glauberman, Guralnick, L., Navarro, 2019)

Gross's theorem true without assumption that $O_p(G) = 1$.

→ Uses Z_p^* -theorem, hence CFSG.

Centric linking systems

- + \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- + P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}

Centric linking systems

- ✦ \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- ✦ P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}
- ✦ $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -centric if $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$ for every $Q = P^\varphi$.

Centric linking systems

- ✦ \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- ✦ P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}
- ✦ $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -centric if $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$ for every $Q = P^\varphi$.
- $P \leq S$ is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric $\iff C_G(P) = Z(P) \times O_{p'}(C_G(P))$.

Centric linking systems

- + \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- + P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}
- + $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -centric if $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$ for every $Q = P^\varphi$.
- $P \leq S$ is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric $\iff C_G(P) = Z(P) \times O_{p'}(C_G(P))$.

Centric linking system of a finite group \rightsquigarrow abstract linking systems

The centric linking system of G is the category $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ with

- + objects: $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric subgroups $P \leq S$.
- + morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(P, Q) = O_{p'}(C_G(P)) \backslash N_G(P, Q)$, where $N_G(P, Q) = \{g \in G \mid P^g \leq Q\}$.

Centric linking systems

- + \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- + P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}
- + $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -centric if $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$ for every $Q = P^\varphi$.
- $P \leq S$ is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric $\iff C_G(P) = Z(P) \times O_{p'}(C_G(P))$.

Centric linking system of a finite group \rightsquigarrow abstract linking systems

The **centric linking system** of G is the category $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ with

- + objects: $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric subgroups $P \leq S$.
- + morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(P, Q) = O_{p'}(C_G(P)) \backslash N_G(P, Q)$, where $N_G(P, Q) = \{g \in G \mid P^g \leq Q\}$.
- Have exact sequences: $1 \rightarrow Z(Q) \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(Q) \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \rightarrow 1$.

Centric linking systems

- ✦ \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- ✦ P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}
- ✦ $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -centric if $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$ for every $Q = P^\varphi$.
- $P \leq S$ is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric $\iff C_G(P) = Z(P) \times O_{p'}(C_G(P))$.

Centric linking system of a finite group \rightsquigarrow abstract linking systems

The centric linking system of G is the category $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ with

- ✦ objects: $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric subgroups $P \leq S$.
- ✦ morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(P, Q) = O_{p'}(C_G(P)) \backslash N_G(P, Q)$, where $N_G(P, Q) = \{g \in G \mid P^g \leq Q\}$.
- Have exact sequences: $1 \rightarrow Z(Q) \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(Q) \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \rightarrow 1$.

Martino-Priddy Conjecture, MP 1996, Oliver 2004, 2006

$$BG_p^\wedge \simeq BH_p^\wedge \iff \mathcal{F}_p(G) \cong \mathcal{F}_p(H)$$

Centric linking systems

- ✦ \mathcal{F} a saturated fusion system over S
- ✦ P^φ for the image of a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F}
- ✦ $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -centric if $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$ for every $Q = P^\varphi$.
- $P \leq S$ is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric $\iff C_G(P) = Z(P) \times O_{p'}(C_G(P))$.

Centric linking system of a finite group \rightsquigarrow abstract linking systems

The centric linking system of G is the category $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ with

- ✦ objects: $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centric subgroups $P \leq S$.
- ✦ morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(P, Q) = O_{p'}(C_G(P)) \backslash N_G(P, Q)$, where $N_G(P, Q) = \{g \in G \mid P^g \leq Q\}$.
- Have exact sequences: $1 \rightarrow Z(Q) \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(Q) \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \rightarrow 1$.

Martino-Priddy Conjecture, MP 1996, Oliver 2004, 2006

$$BG_p^\wedge \simeq BH_p^\wedge \iff \mathcal{F}_p(G) \cong \mathcal{F}_p(H)$$

- (BLO 2003) $|\mathcal{L}_S^c(G)|_p^\wedge \simeq BG_p^\wedge$ and $\text{Out}(\mathcal{L}_S^c(G)) \cong \text{Out}(BG_p^\wedge)$

The orbit category and cohomological obstructions to linking systems

- + **centric orbit category:** $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$
 - + objects: the \mathcal{F} -centric subgroups \mathcal{F}^c
 - + morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) / \text{Inn}(Q)$

The orbit category and cohomological obstructions to linking systems

- ✦ **centric orbit category:** $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$
 - ✦ objects: the \mathcal{F} -centric subgroups \mathcal{F}^c
 - ✦ morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) / \text{Inn}(Q)$
- ✦ **center functor:** $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$
 - ✦ on objects: $\mathcal{Z}(P) = Z(P)$;
 - ✦ on a morphism $P \xrightarrow{[\varphi]} Q$: the composite $Z(Q) \hookrightarrow Z(P^\varphi) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} Z(P)$.

The orbit category and cohomological obstructions to linking systems

- ✦ **centric orbit category:** $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$
 - ✦ objects: the \mathcal{F} -centric subgroups \mathcal{F}^c
 - ✦ morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) / \text{Inn}(Q)$
- ✦ **center functor:** $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$
 - ✦ on objects: $\mathcal{Z}(P) = Z(P)$;
 - ✦ on a morphism $P \xrightarrow{[\varphi]} Q$: the composite $Z(Q) \hookrightarrow Z(P^\varphi) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} Z(P)$.

Theorem (Broto-Levi-Oliver, 2003)

Obstructions to existence and uniqueness of \mathcal{L} given \mathcal{F} lie in $\lim_{\mathcal{O}}^3 \mathcal{Z}$ and $\lim_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \mathcal{Z}$.

The orbit category and cohomological obstructions to linking systems

- + **centric orbit category**: $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$
 - + objects: the \mathcal{F} -centric subgroups \mathcal{F}^c
 - + morphisms: $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) / \text{Inn}(Q)$
- + **center functor**: $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$
 - + on objects: $\mathcal{Z}(P) = Z(P)$;
 - + on a morphism $P \xrightarrow{[\varphi]} Q$: the composite $Z(Q) \hookrightarrow Z(P^\varphi) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} Z(P)$.

Theorem (Broto-Levi-Oliver, 2003)

Obstructions to existence and uniqueness of \mathcal{L} given \mathcal{F} lie in $\lim_{\mathcal{O}}^3 \mathcal{Z}$ and $\lim_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \mathcal{Z}$.

Theorem (Chermak (2013), Oliver (2013), Glauberman-L. (2016))

$\lim_{\mathcal{O}}^k \mathcal{Z} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ if p odd and for all $k \geq 2$ if $p = 2$.

Automorphism groups of fusion and centric linking systems

- ✦ $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(S)$ analogous to $N_G(S)$.
- ✦ $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ analogous to $N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$.
- ✦ $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) =$ group of rigid automorphisms; analogous to $C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$

Automorphism groups of fusion and centric linking systems

- ✦ $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(S)$ analogous to $N_G(S)$.
- ✦ $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ analogous to $N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$.
- ✦ $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) =$ **group of rigid automorphisms**; analogous to $C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & Z(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} & \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \delta_S & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \pi_S & & \downarrow \text{res}_S & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1
 \end{array}$$

Automorphism groups of fusion and centric linking systems

- ✦ $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(S)$ analogous to $N_G(S)$.
- ✦ $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ analogous to $N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$.
- ✦ $\text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) =$ group of rigid automorphisms; analogous to $C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & Z(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \delta_S & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \pi_S & & \downarrow \text{res}_S & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1
 \end{array}$$

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

→ (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

→ (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.

→ $p = 2$: $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \cong C_2$ for

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+2}) = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+3}),$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(PSL_2(q)), \quad q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \quad \text{ETC}$$

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

- (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.
- $p = 2$: $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \cong C_2$ for
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+2}) = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+3}),$$
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(\text{PSL}_2(q)), q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \text{ ETC}$$
- (Oliver) $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ where G is simply connected of Lie type.

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

- (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.
- $p = 2$: $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \cong C_2$ for
 - $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+2}) = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+3})$,
 - $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(PSL_2(q))$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, ETC
- (Oliver) $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ where G is simply connected of Lie type.

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

$\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L})$ is an elementary abelian 2-group for any saturated 2-fusion system \mathcal{F} .
Moreover, the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 1$$

splits.

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

- (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.
- $p = 2$: $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \cong C_2$ for
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+2}) = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+3}),$$
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(\text{PSL}_2(q)), q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \text{ ETC}$$
- (Oliver) $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ where G is **simply connected** of Lie type.

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

$\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L})$ is an **elementary abelian** 2-group for any saturated 2-fusion system \mathcal{F} .
Moreover, the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 1$$

splits.

- This is best possible: e.g. $p = 2$ and $G = A_6 \times \cdots \times A_6$.

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

- (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.
- $p = 2$: $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \cong C_2$ for
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+2}) = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+3}),$$
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(\text{PSL}_2(q)), q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \text{ ETC}$$
- (Oliver) $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ where G is **simply connected** of Lie type.

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

$\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L})$ is an **elementary abelian** 2-group for any saturated 2-fusion system \mathcal{F} .
Moreover, the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 1$$

splits.

- This is best possible: e.g. $p = 2$ and $G = A_6 \times \cdots \times A_6$.
- Similar argument for p odd gives simpler proof of (*).

On rigid outer automorphisms of linking systems

- (*) Recall $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = \lim_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for p odd.
- $p = 2$: $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \cong C_2$ for
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+2}) = \mathcal{F}_2(A_{4n+3}),$$
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(\text{PSL}_2(q)), q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \text{ ETC}$$
- (Oliver) $\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$ where G is **simply connected** of Lie type.

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

$\text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L})$ is an **elementary abelian** 2-group for any saturated 2-fusion system \mathcal{F} .
Moreover, the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z(S)/Z(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Out}_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 1$$

splits.

- This is best possible: e.g. $p = 2$ and $G = A_6 \times \cdots \times A_6$.
- Similar argument for p odd gives simpler proof of (*).
- More generally, this holds for \mathcal{L} **any linking locality/proper locality**.

Interlude: Group automorphisms centralizing the centric linking system

Take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$.

- + $\tilde{\kappa}_G: N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ is given by “restriction to p -local subgroups modulo $O_{p'}$ ”.
- + $\kappa_G: \text{Out}(G) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{L})$ the induced map

Interlude: Group automorphisms centralizing the centric linking system

Take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$.

- + $\tilde{\kappa}_G: N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ is given by “restriction to p -local subgroups modulo $O_{p'}$ ”.
- + $\kappa_G: \text{Out}(G) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{L})$ the induced map

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

If $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then $\ker(\kappa_G)$ is a p' -group.

→ Depends on the Z_p^* -theorem

Interlude: Group automorphisms centralizing the centric linking system

Take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$.

- + $\tilde{\kappa}_G: N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ is given by “restriction to p -local subgroups modulo $O_{p'}$ ”.
- + $\kappa_G: \text{Out}(G) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{L})$ the induced map

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

If $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then $\ker(\kappa_G)$ is a p' -group.

- Depends on the Z_p^* -theorem
- Reinterprets Glauberman's work on Schreier conjecture (1966)

Interlude: Group automorphisms centralizing the centric linking system

Take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_S^c(G)$.

- + $\tilde{\kappa}_G: N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ is given by “restriction to p -local subgroups modulo $O_{p'}$ ”.
- + $\kappa_G: \text{Out}(G) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{L})$ the induced map

Theorem (Glauberman-L.)

If $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then $\ker(\kappa_G)$ is a p' -group.

- Depends on the Z_p^* -theorem
- Reinterprets Glauberman's work on Schreier conjecture (1966)
- Consequences for the definition of a “tame fusion system” (Andersen-Oliver-Ventura)

Centralizers of subgroups and subsystems

Centralizer $C_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ of a subgroup $X \leq S$

- + objects: $Q \leq C_S(X)$;
- + morphisms: $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ which extend to $\tilde{\varphi}: XQ \rightarrow XR$ with $\tilde{\varphi}|_X = \text{id}_X$.

Centralizers of subgroups and subsystems

Centralizer $C_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ of a subgroup $X \leq S$

- + objects: $Q \leq C_S(X)$;
- + morphisms: $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ which extend to $\tilde{\varphi}: XQ \rightarrow XR$ with $\tilde{\varphi}|_X = \text{id}_X$.

Centralizers of subgroups and subsystems

Centralizer $C_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ of a subgroup $X \leq S$

- + objects: $Q \leq C_S(X)$;
- + morphisms: $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ which extend to $\tilde{\varphi}: XQ \rightarrow XR$ with $\tilde{\varphi}|_X = \text{id}_X$.

Problem: Construct " $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$ " on " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " for a subsystem \mathcal{E} on $T \leq S$?

Centralizers of subgroups and subsystems

Centralizer $C_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ of a subgroup $X \leq S$

- + objects: $Q \leq C_S(X)$;
- + morphisms: $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ which extend to $\tilde{\varphi}: XQ \rightarrow XR$ with $\tilde{\varphi}|_X = \text{id}_X$.

Problem: Construct " $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$ " on " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " for a subsystem \mathcal{E} on $T \leq S$?

- ✓ (Aschbacher, Henke, Semeraro) if \mathcal{E} is normal in \mathcal{F} ,
- ✓ (Aschbacher) if \mathcal{E} is a component of \mathcal{F} ,
- ✓ (Aschbacher, in restrictive cases) if \mathcal{E} is a component in $C_{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ for some involution t .

Centralizers of subgroups and subsystems

Centralizer $C_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ of a subgroup $X \leq S$

- + objects: $Q \leq C_S(X)$;
- + morphisms: $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ which extend to $\tilde{\varphi}: XQ \rightarrow XR$ with $\tilde{\varphi}|_X = \text{id}_X$.

Problem: Construct " $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$ " on " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " for a subsystem \mathcal{E} on $T \leq S$?

- ✓ (Aschbacher, Henke, Semeraro) if \mathcal{E} is normal in \mathcal{F} ,
- ✓ (Aschbacher) if \mathcal{E} is a component of \mathcal{F} ,
- ✓ (Aschbacher, in restrictive cases) if \mathcal{E} is a component in $C_{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ for some involution t .
- ? Applications to combinatorially describing $[BH_p^{\wedge}, BG_p^{\wedge}]$???

A more basic problem

? First Step: Need to locate the Sylow group " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " $\leq C_S(T)$ of $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$.

A more basic problem

- ? First Step: Need to locate the Sylow group " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " $\leq C_S(T)$ of $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$.
- ✓ $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ behaves like the centralizer of a linking system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ for \mathcal{E} .

A more basic problem

- ? First Step: Need to locate the Sylow group " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " $\leq C_S(T)$ of $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$.
- ✓ $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ behaves like the centralizer of a linking system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ for \mathcal{E} .

Problem

How to tell whether a subgroup $X \leq C_S(T)$ "acts uniquely" on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$, respecting $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & X & \\ & & & & & \downarrow 1 & \\ & & & & & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & \swarrow & \swarrow & \uparrow & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}) \end{array}$$

A more basic problem

- ? First Step: Need to locate the Sylow group " $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ " $\leq C_S(T)$ of $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E})$.
- ✓ $C_S(\mathcal{E})$ behaves like the centralizer of a linking system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ for \mathcal{E} .

Problem

How to tell whether a subgroup $X \leq C_S(T)$ "acts uniquely" on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$, respecting $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & X & \\ & & & & & \downarrow 1 & \\ & & & & & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & \swarrow & \searrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}) \end{array}$$

Definition

A **section** is a family σ of extensions $\sigma(\varphi): XP \rightarrow XQ$, for each $P \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q$ in $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{E}^c)$ satisfying the following conditions

- + $[X, \sigma(\varphi)] \leq Z(P^\varphi)$ for each φ ,
- + $\sigma(\varphi \circ c_t) = \sigma(\varphi) \circ c_t$ for each φ and each $t \in T$.

Write $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ for the collection of sections.

Rigid actions

Definition

A **rigid action** of X on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (respecting $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$) is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: X \rightarrow \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}})$$

for which there is a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\rho(x)([\varphi]) = [x, \sigma(\varphi)]^{\varphi^{-1}}.$$

for each morphism $[\varphi]$ in the orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)$.

Rigid actions

Definition

A **rigid action** of X on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (respecting $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$) is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: X \rightarrow \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}})$$

for which there is a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\rho(x)([\varphi]) = [x, \sigma(\varphi)]^{\varphi^{-1}}.$$

for each morphism $[\varphi]$ in the orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)$.

Definition

For a fixed section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$, **define a functor**

$$K_{X, \mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

Rigid actions

Definition

A **rigid action** of X on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (respecting $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$) is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: X \rightarrow \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}})$$

for which there is a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\rho(x)([\varphi]) = [x, \sigma(\varphi)]^{\varphi^{-1}}.$$

for each morphism $[\varphi]$ in the orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)$.

Definition

For a fixed section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$, **define a functor**

$$K_{X, \mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

by, on objects:

$$K_{X, \mathcal{F}}(P) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(XP) \mid \alpha|_P = \text{id}_P \text{ and } [X, \alpha] \leq Z(P)\},$$

Rigid actions

Definition

A **rigid action** of X on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (respecting $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$) is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: X \rightarrow \widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}})$$

for which there is a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\rho(x)([\varphi]) = [x, \sigma(\varphi)]^{\varphi^{-1}}.$$

for each morphism $[\varphi]$ in the orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)$.

Definition

For a fixed section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$, **define a functor**

$$K_{X, \mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

by, on objects:

$$K_{X, \mathcal{F}}(P) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(XP) \mid \alpha|_P = \text{id}_P \text{ and } [X, \alpha] \leq Z(P)\},$$

and, on morphisms by sending $P \xrightarrow{[\varphi]} Q$ to the composite

$$K_{X, \mathcal{F}}(Q) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} K_{X, \mathcal{F}}(P^{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{c_{\sigma(\varphi)}^{-1}} K_{X, \mathcal{F}}(P).$$

Obstructions to rigid actions on linking systems

Theorem (L.)

Let $\mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{F}$ be a subsystem on $T \leq S$, and fix $X \leq C_S(T)$. Assume that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ is nonempty. Then

- (1) there is a class $[\tau] \in \lim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)}^2 K_{X, \mathcal{F}}$ such that X has a rigid action on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ if and only if $[\tau] = 0$;
- (2) the group $\widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), K_{X, \mathcal{F}})$ acts freely and transitively on the set of rigid actions when that set is nonempty.

Obstructions to rigid actions on linking systems

Theorem (L.)

Let $\mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{F}$ be a subsystem on $T \leq S$, and fix $X \leq C_S(T)$. Assume that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ is nonempty. Then

- (1) there is a class $[\tau] \in \lim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)}^2 K_{X, \mathcal{F}}$ such that X has a rigid action on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ if and only if $[\tau] = 0$;
- (2) the group $\widehat{Z}^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c), K_{X, \mathcal{F}})$ acts freely and transitively on the set of rigid actions when that set is nonempty.

Example

For $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2(A_6 \wr X)$ with $X = \langle x \rangle$ of order 2 and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_2(\Delta(A_6))$, one has

$$\lim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)}^1 K_{X, \mathcal{F}} \cong \lim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^c)}^1 \Omega_1 \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong C_2.$$