### Radon transforms supported in hypersurfaces and a conjecture by Arnold

Jan Boman

BIRS, Febr 10, 2020

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

**Theorem.** If there exists a compactly supported distribution f in the plane such that its Radon transform Rf is supported in the set of tangents to the boundary of a domain D, then the boundary of D must be an ellipse.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへで

**Theorem.** If there exists a compactly supported distribution f in the plane such that its Radon transform Rf is supported in the set of tangents to the boundary of a domain D, then the boundary of D must be an ellipse.

The Radon transform

**Theorem.** If there exists a compactly supported distribution f in the plane such that its Radon transform Rf is supported in the set of tangents to the boundary of a domain D, then the boundary of D must be an ellipse.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Radon transform

The interior Radon transform

**Theorem.** If there exists a compactly supported distribution f in the plane such that its Radon transform Rf is supported in the set of tangents to the boundary of a domain D, then the boundary of D must be an ellipse.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

The Radon transform

The interior Radon transform

A conjecture of Arnold

**Theorem.** If there exists a compactly supported distribution f in the plane such that its Radon transform Rf is supported in the set of tangents to the boundary of a domain D, then the boundary of D must be an ellipse.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Radon transform

The interior Radon transform

A conjecture of Arnold

Sketch of proof of the theorem

#### The plane Radon Transform

The 2-dimensional Radon transform integrates a compactly supported (continuous) function f over lines L

$$Rf(L) = \int_L f \, ds.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Here L is a line in the plane and ds is length measure on L.

#### The plane Radon Transform

The 2-dimensional Radon transform integrates a compactly supported (continuous) function f over lines L

$$Rf(L) = \int_L f \, ds.$$

Here L is a line in the plane and ds is length measure on L. Occasionally I shall use the familiar parametrization

$$Rf(\omega,p) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = p} f \, ds, \quad (\omega,p) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R},$$

where the line L is defined by  $x \cdot \omega = p$ . Clearly

$$Rf(\omega, p) = Rf(-\omega, -p).$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● □ ● ● ●

#### The Interior Radon Transform

Given two concentric disks D and  $\overline{D_0} \subset D$  it is well known that there exists a non-trivial function f with support *equal* to  $\overline{D}$  such that

Rf(L) = 0 for all lines L that meet  $D_0$ .



In fact one can take f radial, that is, f(x) = f(r) with r = |x|.

#### The Interior Radon Transform

Given two concentric disks D and  $\overline{D_0} \subset D$  it is well known that there exists a non-trivial function f with support *equal* to  $\overline{D}$  such that

Rf(L) = 0 for all lines L that meet  $D_0$ .



In fact one can take f radial, that is, f(x) = f(r) with r = |x|.

One can prescribe g(p) arbitrarily and find f(r) so that Rf(p) = g(p), for instance choose g(p) = 0 for  $|p| \le p_0 < 1$ .

#### The Interior Radon Transform

Given two concentric disks D and  $\overline{D_0} \subset D$  it is well known that there exists a non-trivial function f with support *equal* to  $\overline{D}$  such that

Rf(L) = 0 for all lines L that meet  $D_0$ .



In fact one can take f radial, that is, f(x) = f(r) with r = |x|.

One can prescribe g(p) arbitrarily and find f(r) so that Rf(p) = g(p), for instance choose g(p) = 0 for  $|p| \le p_0 < 1$ .

More precisely

$$f(r) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{r}^{1} (s^2 - r^2)^{-1/2} g'(s) ds.$$

The Interior Radon Transform, cont.

Same for ellipses:



There exist functions f supported in D such that Rf(L) = 0 for all lines L that intersect  $D_0$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

#### The Interior Radon Transform, cont.

But how about arbitrary convex sets? We don't know.

#### The Interior Radon Transform, cont.

But how about arbitrary convex sets? We don't know.

**Conjecture.** Let D and  $D_0$  be bounded convex domains in the plane with  $\overline{D_0} \subset D$ . Then there exists a smooth function f, not identically zero, supp  $f \subset \overline{D}$ , such that its Radon transform Rf(L) vanishes for every line L that intersects  $D_0$ .



For instance squares:



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

We have seen that the set of tangents to the boundary curve of a convex domain cannot contain the support of a Radon transform.

We have seen that the set of tangents to the boundary curve of a convex domain cannot contain the support of a Radon transform. But what about a small neighborhood of such a set of lines?

We have seen that the set of tangents to the boundary curve of a convex domain cannot contain the support of a Radon transform. But what about a small neighborhood of such a set of lines? This leads to the more general question, closely related to the Conjecture:

How can the support of a Radon transform look?

We have seen that the set of tangents to the boundary curve of a convex domain cannot contain the support of a Radon transform. But what about a small neighborhood of such a set of lines? This leads to the more general question, closely related to the Conjecture:

How can the support of a Radon transform look?

Or more precisely:

Which subsets of the manifold of lines in the plane can be the support of Rf for some compactly supported function or distribution f in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Identify the set of lines in the plane with the set of pairs

$$(\omega, p) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$$
, where  $(\omega, p) \sim (-\omega, -p)$ 

and write  $\omega = (\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$ .

We saw that the support of a Radon transform can look as below. Left: concentric disks. Right: concentric ellipses.



If the conjecture is true, the support of a Radon transform can look like this:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

### The supporting function $\rho_D(\omega)$ for the domain D in the plane is defined by

$$\rho_D(\omega) = \sup\{x \cdot \omega; \, x \in D\}.$$



The supporting function  $\rho_D(\omega)$  for the domain D in the plane is defined by

$$\rho_D(\omega) = \sup\{x \cdot \omega; x \in D\}.$$

The curve we saw in the previous picture is the graph of the supporting function for a centered square:



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Let  $f_0$  be the function in the plane defined by

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|x|^2}} \quad \text{for } |x| < 1$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

and f = 0 for all other  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ .

Let  $f_0$  be the function in the plane defined by

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |x|^2}}$$
 for  $|x| < 1$ 

and f = 0 for all other  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$Rf_0(\omega, p) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = p} f_0(x) \, ds = 1 \quad \text{for } |p| < 1,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

and obviously  $Rf_0(\omega, p) = 0$  for  $|p| \ge 1$ .

Let  $f_0$  be the function in the plane defined by

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |x|^2}}$$
 for  $|x| < 1$ 

and f = 0 for all other  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$Rf_0(\omega, p) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = p} f_0(x) \, ds = 1 \quad \text{for } |p| < 1,$$

and obviously  $Rf_0(\omega, p) = 0$  for  $|p| \ge 1$ .

Let f be the distribution  $f = \Delta f_0 = (\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2) f_0$ .

Let  $f_0$  be the function in the plane defined by

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |x|^2}}$$
 for  $|x| < 1$ 

and f = 0 for all other  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$Rf_0(\omega, p) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = p} f_0(x) \, ds = 1 \quad \text{for } |p| < 1,$$

and obviously  $Rf_0(\omega, p) = 0$  for  $|p| \ge 1$ .

Let f be the distribution  $f = \Delta f_0 = (\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2) f_0$ .

Now use the well known formula  $R(\Delta h)(\omega,p)=\partial_p^2 Rh(\omega,p)$  with  $h=f_0.$ 

Let  $f_0$  be the function in the plane defined by

$$f_0(x) = rac{1}{\pi} rac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |x|^2}}$$
 for  $|x| < 1$ 

and f = 0 for all other  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$Rf_0(\omega, p) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = p} f_0(x) \, ds = 1 \quad \text{for } |p| < 1,$$

and obviously  $Rf_0(\omega, p) = 0$  for  $|p| \ge 1$ .

Let f be the distribution  $f = \Delta f_0 = (\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2) f_0$ .

Now use the well known formula  $R(\Delta h)(\omega, p) = \partial_p^2 Rh(\omega, p)$  with  $h = f_0$ .



It follows that

$$Rf(\omega, p) = \delta'(p+1) - \delta'(p-1),$$

if  $\delta(p)$  denotes the Dirac measure at the origin.

It follows that

$$Rf(\omega, p) = \delta'(p+1) - \delta'(p-1),$$

if  $\delta(p)$  denotes the Dirac measure at the origin.

This means that the distribution  $f = \Delta f_0$  has the property that its Radon transform, a distribution on the manifold of lines in the plane, must be supported on the set of tangents to the unit circle.



The Radon transform of a *distribution* f in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

 $\langle Rf,\varphi\rangle=\langle f,R^*\varphi\rangle,\quad\text{for all test functions }\varphi\text{, where }$ 

$$(R^*\varphi)(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega, x \cdot \omega) d\omega,$$

 $d\omega$  is surface measure on  $S^{n-1}$ , or

$$(R^*\varphi)(x) = \int_{L\ni x} \varphi(L)d\mu(L).$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

The Radon transform of a *distribution* f in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

 $\langle Rf, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, R^* \varphi \rangle$ , for all test functions  $\varphi$ , where

$$(R^*\varphi)(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega, x \cdot \omega) d\omega,$$

 $d\omega$  is surface measure on  $S^{n-1}$ , or

$$(R^*\varphi)(x) = \int_{L\ni x} \varphi(L)d\mu(L).$$

By means of an affine transformation we can easily construct a similar example where D is an ellipse.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ - □ - のへで

*Proof idea for Conjecture* (here shown for case of squares): find a compactly supported distribution f whose Radon transform is supported on the set of tangents to the blue curve.



▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

However: to my surprise I found the following:

**Theorem 1** (JB 2018). Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded, convex domain. Assume that there exists a distribution  $f \neq 0$ , supported in  $\overline{D}$ , such that Rf is supported in the set of supporting planes to  $\partial D$ . Then the boundary of D is an ellipsoid.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

However: to my surprise I found the following:

**Theorem 1** (JB 2018). Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded, convex domain. Assume that there exists a distribution  $f \neq 0$ , supported in  $\overline{D}$ , such that Rf is supported in the set of supporting planes to  $\partial D$ . Then the boundary of D is an ellipsoid.

If  $\partial D$  is  $C^1$  smooth, the supporting planes for D are of course tangent planes to  $\partial D$ .

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Newton's lemma

A bounded domain in the plane is called *algebraically integrable*, if the area of a segment cut off by a secant line is an algebraic function of the parameters defining the line.



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで
# Newton's lemma

A bounded domain in the plane is called *algebraically integrable*, if the area of a segment cut off by a secant line is an algebraic function of the parameters defining the line.



Lemma 28 in Newton's *Principia* reads according to Arnold and Vassiliev in *Newton's Principia read 300 years later* (Notices of the AMS 1989):

**Lemma.** There exists no algebraically integrable convex non-singular algebraic curve.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

A segment is equal to a sector minus a triangle, and the area of the triangle depends algebraically on the coordinates of the corners.



< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A segment is equal to a sector minus a triangle, and the area of the triangle depends algebraically on the coordinates of the corners.

Let A be fixed, and let f(P) be the area of the sector defined by the lines OA and OP.



A segment is equal to a sector minus a triangle, and the area of the triangle depends algebraically on the coordinates of the corners.

Let A be fixed, and let f(P) be the area of the sector defined by the lines OA and OP. This function is multivalued, and as P comes back to A after a full cycle, its value will be the area of the region bounded by the oval.



A segment is equal to a sector minus a triangle, and the area of the triangle depends algebraically on the coordinates of the corners.

Let A be fixed, and let f(P) be the area of the sector defined by the lines OA and OP. This function is multivalued, and as P comes back to A after a full cycle, its value will be the area of the region bounded by the oval. After two full cycles f(P) will be equal to twice the area. And so on.



A segment is equal to a sector minus a triangle, and the area of the triangle depends algebraically on the coordinates of the corners.

Let A be fixed, and let f(P) be the area of the sector defined by the lines OA and OP. This function is multivalued, and as P comes back to A after a full cycle, its value will be the area of the region bounded by the oval. After two full cycles f(P) will be equal to twice the area. And so on.

So the function f(P) must have infinitely many values, which is impossible if it is algebraic.



The volume of the part of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that lies above the plane  $x_3 = p$  is

$$\int_{p}^{1} \pi(\sqrt{1-t^{2}})^{2} dt = \int_{p}^{1} \pi(1-t^{2}) dt = \frac{\pi}{3}(p^{3}-3p+2).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで



The volume of the part of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that lies above the plane  $x_3 = p$  is

$$\int_{p}^{1} \pi(\sqrt{1-t^{2}})^{2} dt = \int_{p}^{1} \pi(1-t^{2}) dt = \frac{\pi}{3}(p^{3}-3p+2).$$

So the volume function V(p) is not only algebraic but polynomial.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



The volume of the part of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that lies above the plane  $x_3 = p$  is

$$\int_{p}^{1} \pi(\sqrt{1-t^{2}})^{2} dt = \int_{p}^{1} \pi(1-t^{2}) dt = \frac{\pi}{3}(p^{3}-3p+2).$$

So the volume function V(p) is not only algebraic but polynomial. Same for arbitrary odd dimension.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



The volume of the part of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that lies above the plane  $x_3 = p$  is

$$\int_{p}^{1} \pi(\sqrt{1-t^{2}})^{2} dt = \int_{p}^{1} \pi(1-t^{2}) dt = \frac{\pi}{3}(p^{3}-3p+2).$$

So the volume function V(p) is not only algebraic but polynomial. Same for arbitrary odd dimension.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

And same for ellipsoids.

Problem 1987-14 in Arnold's Problems reads:

Do there exist smooth hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (other than the quadrics in odd-dimensional spaces), for which the volume of the segment cut by any hyperplane from the body bounded by them is an algebraic function of the hyperplane?

## The case of even dimension

**Theorem 2** (Vassiliev 1988). There exist no smooth, convex algebraically integrable bounded domains in even dimensions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

## The case of even dimension

**Theorem 2** (Vassiliev 1988). There exist no smooth, convex algebraically integrable bounded domains in even dimensions.

V. A. Vassiliev: Applied Picard - Lefschetz Theory, AMS 2002.

## The case of odd dimension

Since Arnold's conjecture is still unsolved in this case, one has considered a weaker statement, namely:

## The case of odd dimension

Since Arnold's conjecture is still unsolved in this case, one has considered a weaker statement, namely:

Denote by  $V(\omega, p)$  the volume cut out from the domain D by the hyperplane  $x \cdot \omega = p$ . Assume that  $p \mapsto V(\omega, p)$  is a *polynomial* for every  $\omega$ . Prove that the boundary of D must be an ellipsoid.



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

### The case of odd dimension

Since Arnold's conjecture is still unsolved in this case, one has considered a weaker statement, namely:

Denote by  $V(\omega, p)$  the volume cut out from the domain D by the hyperplane  $x \cdot \omega = p$ . Assume that  $p \mapsto V(\omega, p)$  is a *polynomial* for every  $\omega$ . Prove that the boundary of D must be an ellipsoid.



**Theorem 3** (Koldobsky, Merkurjev, and Yaskin 2017). Assume that D is convex and has  $C^{\infty}$  boundary and that  $p \mapsto V(\omega, p)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq N$  for every  $\omega$ . Then the boundary of D must be an ellipsoid.

Recall:

**Theorem 1** (JB 2018). Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded, convex domain. Assume that there exists a distribution  $f \neq 0$ , supported in  $\overline{D}$ , such that Rf is supported in the set of supporting planes to  $\partial D$ . Then the boundary of D is an ellipsoid.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Let  $\chi_D(x)$  be the characteristic function for the domain D and let  $V(\omega, p)$  be the volume function discussed earlier.

Let  $\chi_D(x)$  be the characteristic function for the domain D and let  $V(\omega, p)$  be the volume function discussed earlier.

Applying the formula  $R(\Delta h)(\omega,p) = \partial_p^2 Rh(\omega,p)$  to  $h = \chi_D$  and iterating gives for every k

$$R(\Delta^k \chi_D)(\omega, p) = \partial_p^{2k} R \chi_D(\omega, p).$$

Let  $\chi_D(x)$  be the characteristic function for the domain D and let  $V(\omega, p)$  be the volume function discussed earlier.

Applying the formula  $R(\Delta h)(\omega,p) = \partial_p^2 Rh(\omega,p)$  to  $h = \chi_D$  and iterating gives for every k

$$R(\Delta^k \chi_D)(\omega, p) = \partial_p^{2k} R \chi_D(\omega, p).$$

Since  $p \mapsto V(\omega, p)$  is polynomial (for p such that the plane  $x \cdot \omega = p$ intersects D) and  $\partial_p V(\omega, p) = (R\chi_D)(\omega, p)$ , it follows that  $p \mapsto R(\chi_D)(\omega, p)$  is polynomial, so  $\partial_p^{2k} R\chi_D(\omega, p) = 0$  if k is large enough except at the jump points, which correspond to tangent planes.

Let  $\chi_D(x)$  be the characteristic function for the domain D and let  $V(\omega, p)$  be the volume function discussed earlier.

Applying the formula  $R(\Delta h)(\omega,p)=\partial_p^2Rh(\omega,p)$  to  $h=\chi_D$  and iterating gives for every k

$$R(\Delta^k \chi_D)(\omega, p) = \partial_p^{2k} R \chi_D(\omega, p).$$

Since  $p \mapsto V(\omega, p)$  is polynomial (for p such that the plane  $x \cdot \omega = p$  intersects D) and  $\partial_p V(\omega, p) = (R\chi_D)(\omega, p)$ , it follows that  $p \mapsto R(\chi_D)(\omega, p)$  is polynomial, so  $\partial_p^{2k} R\chi_D(\omega, p) = 0$  if k is large enough except at the jump points, which correspond to tangent planes. So

$$f = \Delta^k \chi_D$$

has the property that its Radon transform is supported on the set of tangent planes to  $\partial D$ .

Let  $\chi_D(x)$  be the characteristic function for the domain D and let  $V(\omega, p)$  be the volume function discussed earlier.

Applying the formula  $R(\Delta h)(\omega,p)=\partial_p^2Rh(\omega,p)$  to  $h=\chi_D$  and iterating gives for every k

$$R(\Delta^k \chi_D)(\omega, p) = \partial_p^{2k} R \chi_D(\omega, p).$$

Since  $p \mapsto V(\omega, p)$  is polynomial (for p such that the plane  $x \cdot \omega = p$  intersects D) and  $\partial_p V(\omega, p) = (R\chi_D)(\omega, p)$ , it follows that  $p \mapsto R(\chi_D)(\omega, p)$  is polynomial, so  $\partial_p^{2k} R\chi_D(\omega, p) = 0$  if k is large enough except at the jump points, which correspond to tangent planes. So

$$f = \Delta^k \chi_D$$

has the property that its Radon transform is supported on the set of tangent planes to  $\partial D$ . By Theorem 1 it follows that  $\partial D$  is an ellipsoid.

*Remark 1.* Theorem 1 implies Theorem 3 without the smoothness assumption on the boundary of *D*.

*Remark 1.* Theorem 1 implies Theorem 3 without the smoothness assumption on the boundary of *D*.

*Remark 2.* Theorem 3 shows that the Radon transform of the characteristic function  $\chi_D$  cannot be polynomial unless  $\partial D$  is an ellipsoid. Theorem 1 shows that *no function* supported in D can have a polynomial Radon transform unless  $\partial D$  is an ellipsoid.

- ロト・ 日本・ モー・ モー・ うらく

#### The range of the Radon transform

Which functions  $g(\omega, p)$  on  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$  are equal to  $Rf(\omega, p)$  for some compactly supported function f?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

#### The range of the Radon transform

Which functions  $g(\omega, p)$  on  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$  are equal to  $Rf(\omega, p)$  for some compactly supported function f?

An even function  $g(\omega, p)$  is equal to  $Rf(\omega, p)$  for some compactly supported function f if and only if the function

$$S^1 \ni \omega \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\omega, p) p^k dp$$

is equal to the restriction to  $S^1$  of a homogeneous polynomial in  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  of degree k for every natural number k.

The range characterization implies that an arbitrary even function  $g(\omega, p) = g(p)$  that is independent of  $\omega$  must belong to the range of the Radon transform R.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

The range characterization implies that an arbitrary even function  $g(\omega, p) = g(p)$  that is independent of  $\omega$  must belong to the range of the Radon transform R. Because then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(p)p^k dp = 0 \quad \text{for all odd } k, \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(p)p^k dp = c_k \quad \text{for all even } k.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

The range characterization implies that an arbitrary even function  $g(\omega, p) = g(p)$  that is independent of  $\omega$  must belong to the range of the Radon transform R. Because then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(p)p^k dp = 0 \quad \text{for all odd } k, \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(p)p^k dp = c_k \quad \text{for all even } k.$$

And since  $1 = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2$  for  $\omega \in S^1$ , the constant function is the restriction to  $S^1$  of a homogeneous polynomial of an arbitrary even degree.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Assume for simplicity that D = -D. Let  $\rho(\omega)$  be the supporting function for D

 $\rho(\omega) = \sup\{x \cdot \omega; \, x \in D\}.$ 

Assume for simplicity that D = -D. Let  $\rho(\omega)$  be the supporting function for D

$$\rho(\omega) = \sup\{x \cdot \omega; \, x \in D\}.$$

The hyperplane  $x \cdot \omega = p$  is a supporting plane to  $\partial D$  if and only if

$$p = \rho(\omega)$$
 or  $p = -\rho(\omega)$ .

Assume for simplicity that D = -D. Let  $\rho(\omega)$  be the supporting function for D

$$\rho(\omega) = \sup\{x \cdot \omega; \, x \in D\}.$$

The hyperplane  $x \cdot \omega = p$  is a supporting plane to  $\partial D$  if and only if

$$p = \rho(\omega)$$
 or  $p = -\rho(\omega)$ .

If  $q(\omega)$  is an even function on  $S^{n-1}$ , then

$$g(\omega, p) = q(\omega) \left( \delta(p - \rho(\omega)) + \delta(p + \rho(\omega)) \right)$$

defines a distribution (of order zero) on the manifold of hyperplanes.

Assume for simplicity that D = -D. Let  $\rho(\omega)$  be the supporting function for D

$$\rho(\omega) = \sup\{x \cdot \omega; \, x \in D\}.$$

The hyperplane  $x \cdot \omega = p$  is a supporting plane to  $\partial D$  if and only if

$$p = \rho(\omega)$$
 or  $p = -\rho(\omega)$ .

If  $q(\omega)$  is an even function on  $S^{n-1}$ , then

$$g(\omega, p) = q(\omega) \left( \delta(p - \rho(\omega)) + \delta(p + \rho(\omega)) \right)$$

defines a distribution (of order zero) on the manifold of hyperplanes. More generally, if g = Rf, f compactly supported, and g is supported on  $p = \pm \rho(\omega)$ , then  $g(\omega, p)$  can be written

$$g(\omega, p) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q_j(\omega) \big( \delta^{(j)}(p - \rho(\omega)) + (-1)^j \delta^{(j)}(p + \rho(\omega)) \big),$$

for some even distributions  $q_j, q_j(\omega) = q_j(-\omega)$ , on the sphere  $S^{n-1}$ 

# Plan of proof of Theorem 1

1. Write down the condition that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\omega, p) p^k dp$  is a polynomial of degree k in  $\omega$  for each k.

2. Prove that those conditions imply that  $\rho(\omega)^2$  must be a quadratic polynomial.

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

To compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\omega, p) p^k dp$$

we use for instance the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta'(p - \rho(\omega)) p^k dp = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta(p - \rho(\omega)) k p^{k-1} dp$$
$$= -k \rho(\omega)^{k-1}.$$
To compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\omega, p) p^k dp$$

we use for instance the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta'(p - \rho(\omega)) p^k dp = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta(p - \rho(\omega)) k p^{k-1} dp$$
$$= -k \rho(\omega)^{k-1}.$$

Recall that

$$g(\omega, p) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q_j(\omega) \left( \delta^{(j)}(p - \rho(\omega)) + (-1)^j \delta^{(j)}(p + \rho(\omega)) \right).$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 の�?

The range conditions therefore mean that there must exist polynomials  $p_0, p_2, p_4$  etc., where  $p_k(\omega)$  is homogeneous of degree k, such that (for instance if m = 3)

$$q_{0} = p_{0}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{2} + 2 q_{1}\rho + 2 q_{2} = p_{2}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{4} + 4 q_{1}\rho^{3} + 4 \cdot 3 q_{2}\rho^{2} = p_{4}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{6} + 6 q_{1}\rho^{5} + 6 \cdot 5 q_{2}\rho^{4} = p_{6}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{8} + 8 q_{1}\rho^{7} + 8 \cdot 7 q_{2}\rho^{6} = p_{8}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

. . . .

The range conditions therefore mean that there must exist polynomials  $p_0, p_2, p_4$  etc., where  $p_k(\omega)$  is homogeneous of degree k, such that (for instance if m = 3)

$$q_{0} = p_{0}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{2} + 2 q_{1}\rho + 2 q_{2} = p_{2}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{4} + 4 q_{1}\rho^{3} + 4 \cdot 3 q_{2}\rho^{2} = p_{4}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{6} + 6 q_{1}\rho^{5} + 6 \cdot 5 q_{2}\rho^{4} = p_{6}$$

$$q_{0}\rho^{8} + 8 q_{1}\rho^{7} + 8 \cdot 7 q_{2}\rho^{6} = p_{8}$$

Let us write this in matrix form.

. . . .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho^2 & 2\rho & 2 \\ \rho^4 & 4\rho^3 & 4 \cdot 3\rho^2 \\ \rho^6 & 6\rho^5 & 6 \cdot 5\rho^4 \\ \rho^8 & 7\rho^7 & 8 \cdot 7\rho^6 \\ \rho^{10} & 10\rho^9 & 10 \cdot 9\rho^8 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ p_2 \\ p_4 \\ p_6 \\ p_8 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that  $\rho(\omega)$  is the supporting function of the set D. We want to prove that  $\rho(\omega)^2$  must be a quadratic polynomial, because that is equivalent to  $\partial D$  being a quadric.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへで

Recall that  $\rho(\omega)$  is the supporting function of the set D. We want to prove that  $\rho(\omega)^2$  must be a quadratic polynomial, because that is equivalent to  $\partial D$  being a quadric.

Forming suitable linear combinations of four of those equations we can eliminate the q-functions. This gives infinitely many equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^6 p_0 - 3\rho^4 p_2 + 3\rho^2 p_4 &= p_6 \\ \rho^6 p_2 - 3\rho^4 p_4 + 3\rho^2 p_6 &= p_8 \\ \rho^6 p_4 - 3\rho^4 p_6 + 3\rho^2 p_8 &= p_{10} \\ \rho^6 p_6 - 3\rho^4 p_8 + 3\rho^2 p_{10} &= p_{12} \end{aligned}$$

. . .

Recall that  $\rho(\omega)$  is the supporting function of the set D. We want to prove that  $\rho(\omega)^2$  must be a quadratic polynomial, because that is equivalent to  $\partial D$  being a quadric.

Forming suitable linear combinations of four of those equations we can eliminate the q-functions. This gives infinitely many equations of the form

$$\rho^{6}p_{0} - 3\rho^{4}p_{2} + 3\rho^{2}p_{4} = p_{6}$$

$$\rho^{6}p_{2} - 3\rho^{4}p_{4} + 3\rho^{2}p_{6} = p_{8}$$

$$\rho^{6}p_{4} - 3\rho^{4}p_{6} + 3\rho^{2}p_{8} = p_{10}$$

$$\rho^{6}p_{6} - 3\rho^{4}p_{8} + 3\rho^{2}p_{10} = p_{12}$$

We now have only two kinds of functions of  $\omega$ : the supporting function  $\rho(\omega)$  and the polynomials  $p_k(\omega)$ . The only known fact is that  $p_k(\omega)$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $\omega$  of degree k for every k.

Considering the first three equations as a linear system in the three "unknowns"  $\rho^2$ ,  $\rho^4$ , and  $\rho^6$ , we can write those equations

(1) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho^6 \\ -3\rho^4 \\ 3\rho^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_6 \\ p_8 \\ p_{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Considering the first three equations as a linear system in the three "unknowns"  $\rho^2$ ,  $\rho^4$ , and  $\rho^6$ , we can write those equations

(1) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho^6 \\ -3\rho^4 \\ 3\rho^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_6 \\ p_8 \\ p_{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Provided the determinant of the matrix is different from zero, we can solve for instance  $\rho^2$  from this system and obtain  $\rho^2$  as a rational function

$$\rho(\omega)^2 = \frac{F(\omega)}{G(\omega)},$$

where  $F(\omega)$  and  $G(\omega)$  are polynomials, and

$$G(\omega) = \det \begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Considering the first three equations as a linear system in the three "unknowns"  $\rho^2$ ,  $\rho^4$ , and  $\rho^6$ , we can write those equations

(1) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho^6 \\ -3\rho^4 \\ 3\rho^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_6 \\ p_8 \\ p_{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Provided the determinant of the matrix is different from zero, we can solve for instance  $\rho^2$  from this system and obtain  $\rho^2$  as a rational function

$$\rho(\omega)^2 = \frac{F(\omega)}{G(\omega)},$$

where  $F(\omega)$  and  $G(\omega)$  are polynomials, and

$$G(\omega) = \det \begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, with very little additional effort we can do much better.

The following identities are trivial.

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ p_4 \\ p_6 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_4 \\ p_6 \\ p_8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining the linear system (1) with those two trivial equations we obtain the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \rho^6 \\ 1 & 0 & -3\rho^4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3\rho^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \\ p_6 & p_8 & p_{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Combining the linear system (1) with those two trivial equations we obtain the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \rho^6 \\ 1 & 0 & -3\rho^4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3\rho^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \\ p_6 & p_8 & p_{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The advantage with this equation is that it can be iterated. Setting

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \rho^6 \\ 1 & 0 & -3\rho^4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3\rho^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \\ p_6 & p_8 & p_{10} \\ p_8 & p_{10} & p_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

And more generally

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} A^k = \begin{pmatrix} p_{2k} & p_{2k+2} & p_{2k+4} \\ p_{2k+2} & p_{2k+4} & p_{2k+6} \\ p_{2k+4} & p_{2k+6} & p_{2k+8} \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

for every k.

And more generally

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} A^k = \begin{pmatrix} p_{2k} & p_{2k+2} & p_{2k+4} \\ p_{2k+2} & p_{2k+4} & p_{2k+6} \\ p_{2k+4} & p_{2k+6} & p_{2k+8} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every k. The determinant of A is  $\rho(\omega)^6.$  It follows that

 $G(\omega)\rho(\omega)^{6k}$  is a polynomial for every k.

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

And more generally

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_2 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_4 & p_6 \\ p_4 & p_6 & p_8 \end{pmatrix} A^k = \begin{pmatrix} p_{2k} & p_{2k+2} & p_{2k+4} \\ p_{2k+2} & p_{2k+4} & p_{2k+6} \\ p_{2k+4} & p_{2k+6} & p_{2k+8} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every k. The determinant of A is  $\rho(\omega)^6$ . It follows that

$$G(\omega)\rho(\omega)^{6k}$$
 is a polynomial for every k.

Since we already knew that  $\rho(\omega)^2$  is a rational function, we can now conclude that  $\rho(\omega)^2$  must be a polynomial (still assuming that  $G(\omega)$  is not identically zero).

Therefore it remains only to prove

**Lemma.** If  $q_{m-1} \neq 0$ , then the  $m \times m$  matrix

(	$p_0$	$p_2$	$p_4$	• • •	$p_{m-2}$
	$p_2$	$p_4$	$p_6$		$p_m$
	$p_4$	$p_6$	$p_8$		$p_{m+2}$
	•••			• • •	
$\backslash l$	$p_{m-2}$	$p_m$	$p_{m+2}$		$p_{2m-4}$

is non-singular.

Therefore it remains only to prove

**Lemma.** If  $q_{m-1} \neq 0$ , then the  $m \times m$  matrix

$\int p$	0	$p_2$	$p_4$	•••	$p_{m-2}$
p	2	$p_4$	$p_6$	• • •	$p_m$
<b>p</b>	4	$p_6$	$p_8$	• • •	$p_{m+2}$
	••			• • •	
$\backslash p_m$	-2	$p_m$	$p_{m+2}$		$p_{2m-4}$

is non-singular.

This fact depends on the spectral properties of the matrix A.

**Theorem 4.** Let D be open, convex, bounded, let  $x^0 \in \partial D$ , and let  $\omega^0$  be one of the unit normals of a supporting plane  $L_0$  to  $\overline{D}$  at  $x^0$ . If there exists a distribution f with support in  $\overline{D}$  and a translation invariant open neighborhood W of  $L_0$ , such that the restriction of the distribution Rf to W is supported on the set of supporting planes to D in W, then  $\partial D$  must be equal to the restriction of an ellipsoid in some neighborhood of  $\pm x^0$ .

A recent, somewhat related, result:

**Theorem** (Ilmavirta and Paternain, 2018). Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded and strictly convex domain with smooth boundary. If there exists a function  $f \in L^1(D)$  such that the integral of f over almost every line meeting D is equal to 1, then D is a ball.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Agranovsky, M. On polynomially integrable domains in Euclidean spaces, in Complex analysis and dynamical systems, 1-21, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer 2018.

Agranovsky, M. On algebraically integrable bodies, in Functional Analysis and Geometry, Selim Krein Centennial, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2019.

Arnold, V. and Vassilev, V. A., *Newton's Principia read 300 years later*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1989), 1148-1154.

Vassiliev, V. A., *Applied Picard-Lefschetz theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. 2002.

Boman, J., A hypersurface containing the support of a Radon transform transform must be an ellipsoid. I, to appear in J. Geom. Anal.

Koldobsky, A., Merkurjev, A., and Yaskin, V., *On polynomially integrable convex bodies*, Adv. Math. **320** (2017), 876-886.

Ilmavirta, J. and Paternain, G., *Functions of constant geodesic X-ray transform*, Inverse Problems **35** (2019), 065002.