

Irreducibility and generic ODEs

Ronnie Nagloo



Banff International Research Station Workshop
in
Brooklyn NY

05 June 2020

This work is partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1700336 and DMS-1952694, and PSC-CUNY grant #63304-00 51.

Introduction and Motivation

- Strong minimality is a central notion in model theory.
- The **Key Model Theoretic Problem about DCF** (D. Marker's talk):
Understand the strongly minimal sets.
- This is a problem internal to Model theory/DCF (although it has been applied very successfully).

Introduction and Motivation

- Strong minimality is a central notion in model theory.
- The **Key Model Theoretic Problem about DCF** (D. Marker's talk):
Understand the strongly minimal sets.
- This is a problem internal to Model theory/DCF (although it has been applied very successfully).
- **Goal:**
 - 1 Use the slightly more general notion **irreducibility** to explain the relevance outside model theory.
 - 2 Give an idea of the problem of **proving** that a differential equation is strongly minimal.

Irreducibility: All solutions are 'new'

Let us give the *Painlevé-Umemura definition* of a **classical/known functions**.

- In what follows we will identify a meromorphic function f on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ with its restriction $f|_V$ onto an open subset $V \subset U$.

Irreducibility: All solutions are 'new'

Let us give the *Painlevé-Umemura definition* of a **classical/known functions**.

- In what follows we will identify a meromorphic function f on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ with its restriction $f|_V$ onto an open subset $V \subset U$.
- S will denote certain set of meromorphic functions on a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$.
- We assume that all the elements in S are already **known functions**.
- One then define the permissible operations to obtain other know functions from S .

- (O) Let $f \in S$. Then f' is a known function.
- (P1) Let $f_1, f_2 \in S$, then the functions $f_1 \pm f_2$, $f_1 \cdot f_2$ and f_1/f_2 (if $f_2 \neq 0$) are known functions.
- (P2) If f is a solution of an equation $X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$, with $a_i \in S$, then f is a known function.

... Functions in $\mathbb{C}\langle S \rangle^{alg}$ are known functions.

... Functions in $\mathbb{C}\langle S \rangle^{alg}$ are known functions.

(P3) Let $f \in S$. Then $\int f dt$ is a known function.

... Functions in $\mathbb{C}\langle S \rangle^{alg}$ are known functions.

(P3) Let $f \in S$. Then $\int f dt$ is a known function.

(P4) If f is a solution of linear ODE $X^{(n)} + a_1 X^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_n X = 0$, with $a_i \in S$, then f is a known function.

... Functions in $\mathbb{C}\langle S \rangle^{alg}$ are known functions.

(P3) Let $f \in S$. Then $\int f dt$ is a known function.

(P4) If f is a solution of linear ODE $X^{(n)} + a_1 X^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_n X = 0$, with $a_i \in S$, then f is a known function.

(P5) Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a lattice such that the quotient \mathbb{C}^n/Γ is an abelian variety. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ be the projection. Let ϕ be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n/Γ . Then $\phi \cdot \pi \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S$, is a known function.

... Functions in $\mathbb{C}\langle S \rangle^{alg}$ are known functions.

(P3) Let $f \in S$. Then $\int f dt$ is a known function.

(P4) If f is a solution of linear ODE $X^{(n)} + a_1 X^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_n X = 0$, with $a_i \in S$, then f is a known function.

(P5) Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a lattice such that the quotient \mathbb{C}^n/Γ is an abelian variety. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ be the projection. Let ϕ be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n/Γ . Then $\phi \cdot \pi \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S$, is a known function.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$

(Q_k) If f is a solution of an ODE $G(y, y', \dots, y^{(k)}) = 0$ where G has coefficients from S . Then f a known function.

Here $F \in \mathbb{C}(t)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denotes a rational function and $n > 1$.

Definition

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is **PU-irreducible** if, starting from the set of constant functions \mathbb{C} , one cannot express any of its solutions by a finite iteration of the permissible operations (0), (P1), ..., (P5) and (Q1), ..., (Q_{n-1}).

Here $F \in \mathbb{C}(t)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denotes a rational function and $n > 1$.

Definition

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is **PU-irreducible** if, starting from the set of constant functions \mathbb{C} , one cannot express any of its solutions by a finite iteration of the permissible operations (0), (P1), ..., (P5) and (Q1), ..., (Q_{n-1}).

Fact

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is PU-irreducible if

- 1 It has no solution solution in $\mathbb{C}(t)^{alg}$; and

Here $F \in \mathbb{C}(t)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denotes a rational function and $n > 1$.

Definition

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is **PU-irreducible** if, starting from the set of constant functions \mathbb{C} , one cannot express any of its solutions by a finite iteration of the permissible operations (0), (P1), ..., (P5) and (Q1), ..., (Q_{n-1}).

Fact

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is PU-irreducible if

- 1 It has no solution in $\mathbb{C}(t)^{alg}$; and
- 2 For any solution f and any finitely generated differential field extension K of $\mathbb{C}(t)$, either

$$f \in K^{alg} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{tr.deg}(K(f, f', \dots, f^{(n-1)})/K) = n.$$

Here $F \in \mathbb{C}(t)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denotes a rational function and $n > 1$.

Definition

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is **PU-irreducible** if, starting from the set of constant functions \mathbb{C} , one cannot express any of its solutions by a finite iteration of the permissible operations (0), (P1), ..., (P5) and (Q1), ..., (Q_{n-1}).

Fact

The equation $y^{(n)} = F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is PU-irreducible if

- 1 It has no solution in $\mathbb{C}(t)^{alg}$; and
- 2 The set defined by the equation in a differentially closed field is **strongly minimal**.

2. Proving Strong minimality

2. Proving Strong minimality

- It can be quite hard to prove that an ODE is strongly minimal.

- **Example:**

The equation $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \frac{1}{2}$ is not strongly minimal because of the existence of

$$y' = y^2 + \frac{t}{2}.$$

2. Proving Strong minimality

- It can be quite hard to prove that an ODE is strongly minimal.

- **Example:**

The equation $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \frac{1}{2}$ is not strongly minimal because of the existence of

$$y' = y^2 + \frac{t}{2}.$$

The equation $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \frac{3}{2}$ is not strongly minimal because of the existence of

2. Proving Strong minimality

- It can be quite hard to prove that an ODE is strongly minimal.

- **Example:**

The equation $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \frac{1}{2}$ is not strongly minimal because of the existence of

$$y' = y^2 + \frac{t}{2}.$$

The equation $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \frac{3}{2}$ is not strongly minimal because of the existence of

$$(y')^3 - (y^2 + \frac{t}{2})(y')^2 - (y^4 + ty^2 + 4y + \frac{t^2}{4})y' + y^6 + \frac{3}{2}ty^4 + 4y^3 + \frac{3}{4}t^2y^2 + 2ty + 2 + \frac{t^3}{8} = 0$$

- The equation $P_{II}(\alpha)$:

$$y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

is the **second Painlevé equation**.

- Isolated by P. Painlevé as one of the equation of the form $y'' = f(y, y')$ that has the **Painlevé property**.

- The equation $P_{II}(\alpha)$:

$$y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

is the **second Painlevé equation**.

- Isolated by P. Painlevé as one of the equation of the form $y'' = f(y, y')$ that has the **Painlevé property**.
- If w is a solution of $P_{II}(\alpha)$, then

$$T_+(w) = -w - \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{w' + w^2 + t/2}$$

$$T_-(w) = -w + \frac{\alpha - 1/2}{w' - w^2 - t/2}$$

are solutions of $P_{II}(\alpha + 1)$ and $P_{II}(\alpha - 1)$ respectively.

- The equation $P_{II}(\alpha)$:

$$y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

is the **second Painlevé equation**.

- Isolated by P. Painlevé as one of the equation of the form $y'' = f(y, y')$ that has the **Painlevé property**.
- If w is a solution of $P_{II}(\alpha)$, then

$$T_+(w) = -w - \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{w' + w^2 + t/2}$$

$$T_-(w) = -w + \frac{\alpha - 1/2}{w' - w^2 - t/2}$$

are solutions of $P_{II}(\alpha + 1)$ and $P_{II}(\alpha - 1)$ respectively.

Fact

The degree in y' of the order 1 subvariety of $P_{II}(1/2 + n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is 3^n .

Proving Strong minimality: Puiseux Series/Valuation

- Is $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$ strongly minimal?

Proving Strong minimality: Puiseux Series/Valuation

- Is $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$ strongly minimal? **Yes by Poizat/Marker**
- The calculations involved are specific to the equation and cannot be generalized.

Proving Strong minimality: Puiseux Series/Valuation

- Is $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$ strongly minimal? **Yes by Poizat/Marker**
- The calculations involved are specific to the equation and cannot be generalized.

Result (Freitag-Jaoui-N)

The solution set of equation

$$y'' = y' \frac{p(y)}{q(y)} \tag{0.1}$$

*where the rational function $\frac{p(y)}{q(y)} \in \mathbb{C}(y)$ has a **simple pole at $y = 0$** , is strongly minimal (and so geometrically trivial).*

Proof idea for $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$:

Proof idea for $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$:

- If not strongly minimal, there is a solution f and a differential field K such that $\text{tr.deg}(K(f, f')/K) = 1$ and so

$$u = f' \in K(f)^{\text{alg}} \setminus K^{\text{alg}}$$

Proof idea for $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$:

- If not strongly minimal, there is a solution f and a differential field K such that $\text{tr.deg}(K(f, f')/K) = 1$ and so

$$u = f' \in K(f)^{\text{alg}} \setminus K^{\text{alg}}$$

- We can hence take a Puiseux series expansion of f'

$$u = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} a_i \tau^i$$

where $\tau^e = f$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $a_i \in K^{\text{alg}}$.

Proof idea for $y'' = \frac{y'}{y}$:

- If not strongly minimal, there is a solution f and a differential field K such that $\text{tr.deg}(K(f, f')/K) = 1$ and so

$$u = f' \in K(f)^{\text{alg}} \setminus K^{\text{alg}}$$

- We can hence take a Puiseux series expansion of f'

$$u = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} a_i \tau^i$$

where $\tau^e = f$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $a_i \in K^{\text{alg}}$.

- Plug in the equation $(u)' = \frac{u}{f}$ and get a contradiction.

The Painlevé equation (again): $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha$

- Painlevé (1895) claimed that at least for **generic values** of the parameters all the Painlevé equations would be strongly minimal.
- This was proven to be true in a series of papers by K. Okamoto, K. Nishioka, M. Noumi, H. Umemura and H. Watanabe spanning over about 15 years.

The Painlevé equation (again): $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha$

- Painlevé (1895) claimed that at least for **generic values** of the parameters all the Painlevé equations would be strongly minimal.
- This was proven to be true in a series of papers by K. Okamoto, K. Nishioka, M. Noumi, H. Umemura and H. Watanabe spanning over about 15 years.

Fact

$P_{II}(\alpha)$ is strongly minimal if and only if $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$

The Painlevé equation (again): $y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha$

- Painlevé (1895) claimed that at least for **generic values** of the parameters all the Painlevé equations would be strongly minimal.
- This was proven to be true in a series of papers by K. Okamoto, K. Nishioka, M. Noumi, H. Umemura and H. Watanabe spanning over about 15 years.

Fact

$P_{II}(\alpha)$ is strongly minimal if and only if $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$

- **Genericity matters** outside model theory:

Peter J. Forrester and Nicholas S. Witte, Painlevé II in random matrix theory and related fields, *Constr. Approx.* 41 (2015), no. 3, 589-613.

Schwartzian triangle Equations

- The Schwartzian triangle equation $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by

$$S_t(y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 - \beta^{-2}}{y^2} + \frac{1 - \gamma^{-2}}{(y-1)^2} + \frac{\beta^{-2} + \gamma^{-2} - \alpha^{-2} - 1}{y(y-1)} \right) (y')^2$$

- where

$$S_t(y) = \left(\frac{y''}{y'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y''}{y'} \right)^2$$

- is the Schwartzian derivative and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

- The solutions of $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are conformal mapping of hyperbolic triangle to the complex upper half plane.
- The solutions of $\mathcal{S}(k, l, m)$ when $2 \leq k \leq l \leq m$ (integers or ∞) and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{m} < 1$ are **Fuchsian automorphic functions**.

- The solutions of $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are conformal mapping of hyperbolic triangle to the complex upper half plane.
- The solutions of $\mathcal{S}(k, l, m)$ when $2 \leq k \leq l \leq m$ (integers or ∞) and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{m} < 1$ are **Fuchsian automorphic functions**.
- Painlevé (1895) claimed that $\mathcal{S}(k, l, m)$ is strongly minimal.

- The solutions of $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are conformal mapping of hyperbolic triangle to the complex upper half plane.
- The solutions of $\mathcal{S}(k, l, m)$ when $2 \leq k \leq l \leq m$ (integers or ∞) and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{m} < 1$ are **Fuchsian automorphic functions**.
- Painlevé (1895) claimed that $\mathcal{S}(k, l, m)$ is strongly minimal.

Result (Casale-Freitag-N and Freitag-Scanlon for $(2, 3, \infty)$)

The equation $\mathcal{S}(k, l, m)$, with $2 \leq k \leq l \leq m$ (integers or ∞) and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{m} < 1$, is strongly minimal (and much more...).

- We use the above result to prove a deep functional transcendence result called the **Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass theorem** with derivative for the Fuchsian automorphic functions.

Result (Blázquez Sanz-Casale-Freitag-N)

If α, β, γ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} , then the equation $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is strongly minimal (and much more. . .).

Result (Blázquez Sanz-Casale-Freitag-N)

If α, β, γ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} , then the equation $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is strongly minimal (and much more. . .).

- **Key method in the proof:**

Here $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q}

Fact

Let $\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a formula in the language $(0, 1, +, \times, D)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U} \models \theta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Result (Blázquez Sanz-Casale-Freitag-N)

If α, β, γ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} , then the equation $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is strongly minimal (and much more...).

- **Key method in the proof:**

Here $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q}

Fact

Let $\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a formula in the language $(0, 1, +, \times, D)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U} \models \theta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Then for **all but finitely many** $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\mathcal{U} \models \theta(\hat{\alpha}, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

Strategy

To prove that the generic Painlevé equations are strongly minimal, one only needed to prove that **one** (strategic) equation in each family is strongly minimal.

Strategy

To prove that the generic Painlevé equations are strongly minimal, one only needed to prove that **one** (strategic) equation in each family is strongly minimal.

- **Example:**

Step 1: **Show** that $P_{II}(0) : y'' = 2y^3 + ty$ is strongly minimal.

Strategy

To prove that the generic Painlevé equations are strongly minimal, one only needed to prove that **one** (strategic) equation in each family is strongly minimal.

- **Example:**

Step 1: **Show** that $P_{II}(0) : y'' = 2y^3 + ty$ is strongly minimal.

Step 2: Use Backlund transformations to **deduce** that $P_{II}(n)$ strongly minimal for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Strategy

To prove that the generic Painlevé equations are strongly minimal, one only needed to prove that **one** (strategic) equation in each family is strongly minimal.

- **Example:**

Step 1: **Show** that $P_{II}(0) : y'' = 2y^3 + ty$ is strongly minimal.

Step 2: Use Backlund transformations to **deduce** that $P_{II}(n)$ strongly minimal for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Step 3: **Conclude**, using previous fact, that $P_{II}(\alpha)$ is strongly minimal for transcendental α .

Thank you very much for your attention.

