

# Markoff triples and connectivity of Hurwitz stacks

William Chen

IAS

August 31, 2022

# Markoff triples

The Markoff surface is given by the equation

$$\mathbb{X} : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = 0$$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the group of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{X}$  generated by permutations of coordinates and the “Vieta involution”  $R_3 : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, xy - z)$ .

## Theorem 1 (Markoff, 1879)

*The group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Z})$  with 5 orbits, represented by  $(0, 0, 0), (3, 3, 3), (-3, -3, 3), (-3, 3, -3), (3, -3, -3)$ .*

## Conjecture 1 (Baragar 1991, Bourgain, Gamburd, Sarnak 2016)

*For all primes  $p$ ,  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{X}^*(p) := \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is surjective. “ $\mathbb{X}$  satisfies strong approximation”.*

## Theorem 2 (Bourgain, Gamburd, Sarnak 2016)

Let  $\mathbb{E}_{bgs} := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \Gamma \text{ is not transitive on } \mathbb{X}^*(p)\}$ . Then

- (a)  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \#\{p \leq x \mid p \in \mathbb{E}_{bgs}\} = O(x^\epsilon)$ .
- (b)  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall p$ , there is a large orbit  $\mathcal{C}(p) \subset \mathbb{X}^*(p)$  s.t.  $|\mathbb{X}^*(p) - \mathcal{C}(p)| \leq p^\epsilon$ .

## Connectedness of Hurwitz stacks

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$  be the moduli stack of finite covers of genus  $g$  curves with  $n$  branch pts. For a finite group  $B$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}[B] \subset \mathcal{H}_{g,n}$  denote the substack of  $B$ -Galois covers.

**Question:** Classify the connected components of  $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$  using discrete invariants.

Examples: degree, monodromy group, ramification type, homological invariants...

### Theorem 3

- (a) (Clebsch-Hurwitz 1870's) *The substack of  $\mathcal{H}_{0,n}$  classifying covers with simple branching is connected.*
- (b) (Conway-Parker 1980's, Dunfield-Thurston 2007, Catanese, Lönne, Perroni...) *For fixed  $B$ , the components of  $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}[B]$  are understood for  $g \gg 0$  or  $n \gg 0$ .*
- (c) (Deligne-Mumford 1969) *For  $B = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ , the components of  $\mathcal{H}_{g,0}(B)$  are classified by the cup product.*

### Conjecture 2 (McCullough-Wanderley 2013)

*The connected components of  $\mathcal{H}_{1,1}[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)]$  are classified by the trace of the local monodromy around the branch point.*

Note: Every noncongruence/congruence modular curve is a component of  $\mathcal{H}_{1,1}$ ! (C., Asada, Ellenberg-McReynolds).

## Relating the two problems

Let  $(E, O)$  be an elliptic curve, let  $\Pi := \pi_1(E - O, x_0) = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_p$  be the substack of  $\mathcal{H}_{1,1}[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$  classifying covers whose local monodromy at  $O \in E$  has trace  $-2$ . The natural forgetful map  $q : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  is finite étale.

There are index 2 subgroups  $\Gamma^+ \leq \Gamma$ ,  $\mathrm{Out}^+(\Pi) \leq \mathrm{Out}(\Pi)$ , such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma^+ \circlearrowleft \mathbb{X}^*(p) & & \\
 \downarrow \cong & \text{“SL}_2\text{-character variety of } \Pi\text{”} & \\
 \mathrm{Out}^+(\Pi) \circlearrowleft \mathrm{Epi}(\Pi, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p))_{\mathrm{tr} \varphi([a,b])=-2} / \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) & & \\
 \downarrow \cong & \text{“Galois correspondence”} & \\
 \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, E) \circlearrowleft q^{-1}(E) & & 
 \end{array}$$

Recall, again using the Galois correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, E)\text{-orbits on } q^{-1}(E)\} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_0(\mathcal{H}_p) \\
 \mathcal{O} & \mapsto & \text{The component } \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{H}_p \text{ containing } \mathcal{O} \\
 |\mathcal{O}| & = & \mathrm{deg}(\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1})
 \end{array}$$

Thus, the strong approx. conjecture is equivalent to the connectedness of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .

## Theorem 4 (C. 2021)

The degree of every component of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  over  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  is divisible by  $p$ . In other words, every  $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, E)$ -orbit on  $q^{-1}(E)$ , or equivalently every  $\Gamma^+$ -orbit on  $\mathbb{X}^*(p)$ , has cardinality  $\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

## Corollary 5 (C., Fuchs, Lipman, Tran, 2022)

$\mathbb{E}_{bgs}$  is finite, and contains only primes  $p < 3 \cdot 10^{27}$ .

## Corollary 6

- (a) For all  $p \notin \mathbb{E}_{bgs}$ , the reduction map  $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is surjective.
- (b) For all  $p \notin \mathbb{E}_{bgs}$ , the Hurwitz stack  $\mathcal{H}_p$  classifying  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -covers of elliptic curves only branched above the origin, with local monodromy trace  $-2$  is connected.

## Corollary 7

Let  $H_p$  be the coarse scheme of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Then  $\mathrm{genus}(H_p) \sim \frac{1}{12}p^2 + O(p^{3/2})$ , and  $\mathrm{genus}(H_p) \geq 2$  for all  $p \geq 13$ .

## Proof sketch of the divisibility result

Let  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{H}_p$  be any connected component. We have a diagram (solid arrows)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & \mathcal{E}(1) \\
 & \searrow g & \downarrow f & \uparrow \sigma & \downarrow f & \uparrow \sigma_1 \\
 & & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{M}_{1,1}
 \end{array}$$

$\tau$  (dashed arrow from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ )

Suppose there exists a section  $\tau$  such that  $\pi \circ \tau = \sigma$ . Let  $e$  be the ramification index of  $\pi$  along  $\tau$ . Then we have

$$q^* \underbrace{\sigma_1^* \Omega_{\mathcal{E}(1)/\mathcal{M}_{1,1}}}_{\lambda} = \sigma^* \tilde{q}^* \Omega_{\mathcal{E}(1)/\mathcal{M}_{1,1}} = \sigma^* \Omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{Y}} = \tau^* \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes e}$$

Taking “degrees”, we get

$$\text{“deg}(q^* \lambda) = \text{deg}(q) \cdot \text{deg}(\lambda) = \text{deg}(q) \cdot \frac{1}{24} \equiv 0 \pmod{e} \text{”}$$

To make sense of this, one needs to work over proper stacks, deal with the possible nonexistence of  $\tau$ , and the possibility of fractional degrees.

*Thank you!*

## The $SL_2$ -character variety of $\Pi$

The  $SL_2$ -representation variety of  $\Pi = \langle a, b \rangle$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme  $\text{Hom}(\Pi, SL_2) \cong SL_2 \times SL_2$ . The *character variety* is the GIT quotient

$$X_{SL_2} := \text{Hom}(\Pi, SL_2) // GL_2$$

**Theorem 8 (Fricke-Vogt 1890, Brumfiel-Hilden 1995, Nakamoto 2000)**

- (a) The map  $T : X_{SL_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^3$  sending  $\varphi \mapsto (\text{tr } \varphi(a), \text{tr } \varphi(b), \text{tr } \varphi(ab))$  is an isomorphism.
- (b) The map  $LM : X_{SL_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  sending  $\varphi \mapsto \text{tr } \varphi([a, b])$  is given in coordinates by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2$$

Thus  $\mathbb{X} = LM^{-1}(-2) \subset X_{SL_2}$ .

- (c) Away from  $LM^{-1}(2)$ , the map  $\text{Hom}(\Pi, SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)) / GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow X_{SL_2}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a bijection.

The action of  $\text{Out}^+(\Pi)$  preserves the conjugacy class of the local monodromy, and hence acts on the fibers of  $LM$ .