

Equivariant cohomology and syzygies

Matthias Franz

University of Western Ontario

Banff, September 2022

(mostly joint work with Chris Allday and Volker Puppe)

Equivariant cohomology

$T = (S^1)^r$ compact torus

X “nice” T -space, e.g. $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -variety or T -manifold of finite type

$ET \rightarrow BT$ universal T -bundle

$X_T = (ET \times X)/T$ **Borel construction** (homotopy quotient)

Equivariant cohomology: $H_T^*(X) = H^*(X_T; \mathbb{Q})$

$H_T^*(X)$ is a f. g. module over

$A = H^*(BT) \cong \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_r]$ with $\deg(t_i) = 2$.

X **equivariantly formal:** $H_T^*(X)$ free/ A

In this case, $H_T^*(X) \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^*(X)$ as A -modules

Examples: compact Hamiltonian T -mfs (Frankel, Kirwan),
complete smooth $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -vars (Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson, Weber)

Two exact sequences

Chang–Skjelbred sequence (1974): $H_T^*(X)$ free / $A \implies$

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X^T) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X^T)$$

is exact, where $X_1 =$ union of orbits of dimension ≤ 1 .

This is an efficient way to compute $H_T^*(X)$, in particular if X^T is finite and X_1 a union of 2-spheres (“GKM method” 1998).

augm. **Atiyah–Bredon sequence** (1974): $H_T^*(X)$ free / $A \implies$

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \rightarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+r-1}(X_{r-1}, X_{r-2}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $X_i =$ union of orbits of dimension $\leq i$.

The CS / GKM method only uses a small part of this sequence!

The cohomology of the AB sequence

$AB^*(X)$ = complex of A -modules

$$H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+r-1}(X_{r-1}, X_{r-2}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1})$$

Theorem

$$H^i(AB^*(X)) = \text{Ext}_A^i(H_*^T(X), A) \quad \text{for any } i \geq 0$$

The A -module $H_*^T(X)$ is a suitably defined **equivariant homology** of X . (It is *not* the homology of X_T or any other space.) Morally,

“ $C_*^T(X) = \text{Hom}_A(C^*(X_T), A)$ or $\text{Hom}_{C^*(BT)}(C^*(X_T), C^*(BT))$ ”

Poincaré duality (over \mathbb{Q}) lifts to equivariant PD iso over A :

$$H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cap[X]} H_{n-*}^T(X) \quad \text{where } n = \dim X$$

Syzygies

Let M be a f. g. A -module

M **j -th syzygy**: \exists exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_{j-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0$$

with F_0, \dots, F_{j-1} f. g. free/ A

Syzygies interpolate between torsion-freeness and freeness:

zeroth syzygy = any M

first syzygy = torsion-free

second syzygy = reflexive (i.e., $M \rightarrow M^{\vee\vee}$ iso)

\vdots

r -th syzygy = free

$(r + 1)$ -st syzygy = free

\vdots

Partial exactness

Theorem

Let $j \geq 0$. The augmented AB sequence is exact at all positions $i \leq j - 2 \iff H_T^*(X)$ is a j -th syzygy.

This includes Atiyah–Bredon's result and its converse.

Corollary

The CS sequence is exact $\iff H_T^*(X)$ is reflexive

Example

$T = (S^1)^r$ acts on $(S^2)^r$. Set $X = (S^2)^r \setminus \{x, y\}$ where $x, y \in \{N, S\}^r$ are fixed points, differing in $k \geq 1$ coordinates. Then $H_T^*(X)$ is a syzygy of order $k - 1$.

The underlying algebraic result

Lemma

$H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ is zero or a Cohen–Macaulay module of dim $r - i$.

Let M be a f. g. R -module and K^* a complex of f. g. R -modules. Consider an augmented complex \bar{K}^* with $\bar{K}^{-1} = M$,

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^r \rightarrow 0$$

Assume the following:

- Each K^i is zero or CM of dimension $r - i$
- For each $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R$, if the localized complex $\bar{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ is exact at all but possibly two adjacent positions, then it is exact everywhere.

Theorem

Under these assumptions,

M is a j -th syzygy $\iff H^i(\bar{K}^*) = 0$ for $i \leq j - 2$

Poincaré duality

Let X be a compact oriented T -manifold.

Corollary

CS sequence is exact \iff the equivariant Poincaré pairing

$$H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \rightarrow A, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, [X] \rangle$$

is perfect.

Corollary

If $H_T^(X)$ is a syzygy of order $\geq r/2$, then it is free over A .*

Proof

$H_T^*(X)$ syzygy of order $\geq r/2 \Rightarrow$ left half of AB sequence exact.

Syzygy order $\geq r/2$ also implies depth $H_T^*(X) \geq r/2$, hence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_A^k(H_T^*(X), A) \stackrel{PD}{=} \text{Ext}_A^k(H_*^T(X), A) = H^k(AB(X))$$

for $k \geq r/2$. So right half of AB sequence is exact, too.

Big polygon spaces

S^1 acts on $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ via $g(u, z) = (u, gz)$

This gives an action of $T = (S^1)^r$ on $(S^3)^r$.

$$X = \left\{ ((u_1, z_1), \dots, (u_r, z_r)) \in (S^3)^r \mid u_1 + \dots + u_r = 0 \right\}$$

Assume that $r = 2m + 1$ is odd. Then X is a compact orientable T -manifold of dimension $3r - 2$, and X^T is a “space of polygons”.

Theorem

$H_T^*(X)$ is a syzygy of order exactly m .

Open: minimal dimension for syzygy order m where $r = 2m + 1$

$r = 1$: $\dim X = 1$ is minimal for syzygy order 0.

$r = 3$: $\dim X = 7$ is minimal for syzygy order 1

$r \geq 5$ odd: Is $3r - 2$ still the smallest possible dimension?

Other versions





Analogous results hold in various settings:

- $G = T$ torus, rational (or real) coefficients (A–F–P)
- G compact connected Lie group, real coefficients (F)
orbit filtration by rank of isotropy groups
(builds on work of Goertsches–Mare)
- $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^r$, coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p (A–F–P)
($p = 2$ also done by Bourguiba–Lannes–Schwartz–Zarati)

In each case, the starting point is a “CM filtration” (X_i) of X such that $H_G^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ is zero or CM of projective dimension i .

Thank you!

References

-  C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe
Equivariant cohomology, syzygies and orbit structure
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 6567–6589
-  C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe
Equivariant Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality and the
Cohen–Macaulay property
Alg. Geom. Top. **14** (2014), 1339–1375
-  C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe
Syzygies in equivariant cohomology in positive characteristic
Forum Math. **33** (2021), 547–567
-  D. Bourguiba, J. Lannes, L. Schwartz, S. Zarati
Complexes de modules équivariants sur l’algèbre de Steenrod
associés à un $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ -CW-complexe fini
[arXiv:2105.10281](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10281)

References II



M. Franz

Big polygon spaces

Int. Math. Res. Not. **2015** (2015), 13379–13405



M. Franz

Syzygies in equivariant cohomology for non-abelian Lie groups

pp. 325–360 in: F. Callegaro *et al.* (eds.), *Configuration spaces* (Cortona, 2014), *Springer INdAM Ser.* **14**, Springer, Cham 2016



M. Franz

A quotient criterion for syzygies in equivariant cohomology

Transformation Groups **22** (2017), 933–965



M. Franz, J. Huang

The syzygy order of big polygon spaces

Alg. Geom. Top. **20** (2020), 2657–2675