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## Spin chains, Hamiltonians, ground states

Finite spin chain on $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{Z}$, Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{[a, b]}=\otimes_{x=a}^{b} \mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 2$, spins of magnitude $n=2 S+1, S U(2)$ spin matrices $S_{x}^{i}, i=1,2,3$, $x \in[a, b]$.

Translation-invariant nearest neighbor interaction is given by $h=h^{*} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{n}(\mathbb{C})=\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{[x, x+1]}\right)$.

Hamiltonian: $H_{[a, b]}=\sum_{x=a}^{b-1} h_{x, x+1}$. Interested in ground states.
Heisenberg model: $h_{x, x+1}=\boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}=S_{x}^{1} S_{x+1}^{1}+S_{x}^{2} S_{x+1}^{2}+S_{x}^{3} S_{x+1}^{3}$, $n$-dimensional spin matrices.
AKLT model, $n=3$ :
$h_{x, x+1}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}+\frac{1}{6}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \mathbb{1}=P_{x, x+1}^{(2)}$.
Most general isotropic nearest neighbor interaction for $n=3$ :
$h_{x, x+1}=\cos \phi \boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}+\sin \phi\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}\right)^{2}$.


Figure: Ground state phase diagram for the $S=1$ chain ( $n=3$ ) with nearest-neighbor interactions $\cos \phi \boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}+\sin \phi\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{x+1}\right)^{2}$.

- $\phi=0$ Heisenberg AF chain, Haldane phase (Haldane, 1983)
- $\tan \phi=1 / 3$, AKLT point (Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki, 1987,1988), FF, MPS, gapped
- $\tan \phi=1$, solvable, gapless, SU(3) invariant, (Sutherland, 1975)
- $\phi \in[\pi / 2,3 \pi / 2]$, ferromagnetic, FF, gapless
- $\phi=-\pi / 2$, solvable, $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ invariant, Temperley-Lieb algebra, dimerized, gapped (Klümper; Affleck,1990)
- $\phi-=-\pi / 4$ gapless, Bethe-ansatz, (Takhtajan; Babujian, 1982)


## Dimerization

If a pair interaction favors a maximally entangled state (such as a spin singlet), monogamy of entanglement sets up a competition between pairings. In one dimension, this often leads to an instability and/or to spontaneous breaking of translation symmetry. In the family of $O(n)$ chains here, translation symmetry breaking occurs, called dimerization. For finite chains of $2 \ell$ spins the ground states can be viewed as chain of dimers:


The actual ground states need not consist of maximally entangled pairs. For the $O(n)$ chains maximally entangled pairs dominate for large $n$.

## Stability of gapped ground states

Gapped ground state phases are open regions in Hamiltonian space (not isolated special points). In particular. their gap is stable.
For gapped, frustration-free models satisfying no-local order condition good general stability results exists:

## Yarotsky 2006, Bravyi-Hastings-Michalakis 2010, Michalakis-Zwolak 2013, Szehr-Wolf 2015, Fröhlich-Pizzo 2018-20, N-Sims-Young 2020

These results prove the AKLT point is part of an open region on the red phase of the $n=3$ phase diagram.
The uniqueness condition of the gapped ground state can be relaxed ( N-Sims-Young 2020) but we have no general stability results yet that do not require frustration free property.

The point $\phi=-\pi / 2$ with dimerization is not frustration free:

$$
\left\langle h_{x, x+1}\right\rangle>\inf \operatorname{spec}\left(h_{x, x+1}\right)
$$

## $O(n)$ chains and generalizations of the AKLT model

There is a local unitary change of basis in which the AKLT interaction is given by

$$
h=T-2 Q,
$$

where $T$ is the swap operator and $Q$ is the projection onto
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(e_{1} \otimes e_{1}+e_{0} \otimes e_{0}+e_{-1} \otimes e_{-1}\right)$.
This generalizes to $n$-dimensional spins and arbitrary coupling constants as follows

$$
u T+v Q, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $Q$ is the projection to

$$
\psi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}|\alpha, \alpha\rangle .
$$

Both $T$ and $Q$ commute with the natural action of $O(n)$ on the spins in this basis. It is the general $O(n)$ invariant nearest neighbor interaction for $n \geq 2$, which was studied by Tu \& Zhang, 2008.


Figure: Ground state phase diagram for the chain with nearest-neighbor interactions $u T+v Q$ for $n \geq 3$.

- $v=-2 n u /(n-2), n \geq 3$, Bethe ansatz point (Reshetikhin, 1983)
- $v=-2 u$ : frustration free point, equivalent to $\perp$ projection onto symmetric vectors $\ominus$ one. Unique g.s. if $n$ odd; two 2-periodic g.s. for even $n$; spectral gap in all cases and stable phase ( N -Sims-Young, 2020).
- $u=0, v=-1$. Equivalent to the $S U(n)-P^{(0)}$ models aka Temperley-Lieb chain; Affleck, 1990, Nepomechie-Pimenta 2016). Dimerized for all $n \geq 3$ (Aizenman, Duminil-Copin, Warzel, 2020). New result here: a proof of stability for large $n$ (Björnberg-Mühlbacher-N-Ueltschi, arXiv:2101.11464).


## Proving Stability

To date, there is no single approach for proving stability of gapped ground states that covers the generic situation, not even in one dimension. Limiting property: frustration-freeness (FF) (classical configurations, AKLT chain, Toric Code Model)
Other special properties can sometimes be exploited, such as representation of $\mathrm{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ as a classical partition function (aka Stoquastic Hamiltonians), with special monotonicity properties, this applies to $-P^{(0)}$ model (Aizenman, Duminil-Copin, Warzel 2020).
In our phase diagram the point $(0,-1)(u=0)$ is not FF, but it has the special properties and this has allowed ADW to settle the dimerization question for all cases (all $n \geq 3$ ).
In (N-Ueltschi, 2017) we used a Peierls argument to prove dimerization for $n \geq 17$ for the models with $u=0$.
The new result extends this to small $|u|$ and sufficiently large $n$ by a cluster expansion, which also yields a spectral gap and exponential decay of correlations (in space and time).

## Main Results (Björnberg-Mühlbacher-N-Ueltschi, arXiv:2101.11464,

 CMP2021)Model: chain of $n$-dimensional spins with $O(n)$-invariant nearest neighbor interaction $h=u T+v Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R}, T$ is the swap operator and $Q$ projects onto $\psi=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}|\alpha, \alpha\rangle$.
Finite chains of $2 \ell$ spins, with Hamiltonian: $H_{\ell}=\sum_{x=-\ell+1}^{\ell-1} h_{x, x+1}$. Consider ground states as limits of Gibbs states:

$$
\langle A\rangle_{\ell, \beta, u}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr} A e^{-\beta H_{\ell}}}{\operatorname{Tr}^{-\beta H_{\ell}}}
$$

Basic observables: generators of $O(n)$ :

$$
L^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}=|\alpha\rangle\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\right|-\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle\alpha|, 1 \leq \alpha<\alpha^{\prime} \leq n .
$$

Theorem (Dimerization)
There exist constants $n_{0}, u_{0}, c>0$ (independent of $\ell$ ) such that for $n>n_{0}, v=-1$, and $|u|<u_{0}$, we have that for all $1 \leq \alpha<\alpha^{\prime} \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\langle L_{0}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} L_{1}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{\ell, \beta, u}-\left\langle L_{-1}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} L_{0}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{\ell, \beta, u}\right]>\text { c for } \ell \text { odd } \\
& \lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\langle L_{0}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} L_{1}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{\ell, \beta, u}-\left\langle L_{-1}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} L_{0}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{\ell, \beta, u}\right]<-c \text { for } \ell \text { even. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Exponential decay of correlations)

There exist constants $n_{0}, u_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, C>0$ (independent of $\ell$ ) such that for $n>n_{0}, v=-1$, and $|u|<u_{0}$, we have

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\langle L_{x}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} e^{t H_{\ell}} L_{y}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} e^{-t H_{\ell}}\right\rangle_{\ell, \beta, u}\right| \leq C e^{-c_{1}|x-y|-c_{2}|t|}
$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, all $x, y \in\{-\ell+1, \ldots, \ell\}$, all $1 \leq \alpha<\alpha^{\prime} \leq n$, and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In fact, the decay of correlations between any two local observables is bounded by an exponential with a fixed rate.

Let $E_{0}^{(\ell)}<E_{1}^{(\ell)}<\ldots$ be the eigenvalues of $H_{[-\ell+1, \ell]}$, and define the ground state gap $\Delta^{(\ell)}$ by

$$
\Delta^{(\ell)}=E_{1}^{(\ell)}-E_{0}^{(\ell)}
$$

The gap is obviously positive but is there is a positive lower bound independent of $\ell$ ?
Theorem (Spectral gap)
There exist constants $n_{0}, u_{0}, c>0$ (independent of $\ell$ ) such that for $n>n_{0}, v=-1$, and $|u|<u_{0}$, we have
(a) $E_{0}^{(\ell)}$ is non-degenerate.
(b) $\Delta^{(\ell)} \geq c$ for all $\ell$.

## 'Random' loop representation (Toth 1993, Aizenman-N 1994,

 Ueltschi 2013)First, the case $(u, v)=(0,-1)$.
Consider intervals of the form $[-\ell+1, \ell]$ ( $2 \ell$ spins), and denote the Hamiltonian by $H_{\ell}$, and let $\psi_{\ell}$ be a normalized eigenvector of its smallest eigenvalue, which turns out to be simple. Then

$$
\left|\psi_{\ell}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{\ell}\right|=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-2 \beta H_{\ell}}}{\operatorname{Tr}^{-2 \beta H_{\ell}}}
$$

and therefore, with $A=Q_{x, x+1}$, or any other observable,

$$
\left\langle\psi_{\ell}, A \psi_{\ell}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left|\psi_{\ell}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{\ell}\right| A=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}^{-\beta H_{\ell}} A e^{-\beta H_{\ell}}}{\operatorname{Tr}^{-2 \beta H_{\ell}}} .
$$

Both the numerator and the denominator can be given a nice representation by writing (for integer $\beta$ )

$$
e^{-\beta H_{\ell}}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbb{1}-\frac{1}{N} H_{\ell}\right)^{\beta N}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbb{1}+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=-\ell+1}^{\ell-1} Q_{x, x+1}\right)^{\beta N} .
$$

The $(2 \ell)^{\beta N}$ terms in the RHS resulting from expanding the product are labeled by a set $\Omega_{\ell, N}$ of diagrams we call random loop configurations, which are helpful to calculate the trace of each term using the matrix representation of each factor $Q_{x, x+1}$ :

$$
Q=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n}|\alpha, \alpha\rangle\langle\beta, \beta| .
$$



Figure 2. Loop representation of the $S U(2 S+1)$-invariant quantum spin chains.

The trace of each term, labeled by $\omega \in \Omega_{\ell, N}$, is positive and depends only on the number of factors $Q$, denoted by $|\omega|$, and the number of loops in $\omega$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\omega)$. This allows us to define a probability measure on $\Omega_{\ell, N}$. It is given by

$$
\mu_{\beta, \ell, N}(\omega)=\frac{1}{Z_{N}(\beta, \ell)}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{|\omega|} n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega)-|\omega|},
$$

with

$$
Z_{N}(\beta, \ell)=\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\ell, N}}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{|\omega|} n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega)-|\omega|}
$$

For fixed $|\omega|$, the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ is described by Lebesgue measure $d x^{\otimes|\omega|}$ on the family of time-intervals labeled by edges, $(-\beta, \beta]^{\times(2 \ell-1)}$, and it is convenient to include a normalization factor so we get a probability measure on the configurations of loops:

$$
d \rho_{0}(\omega)=e^{2 \beta(2 \ell-1)} d x^{\otimes|\omega|} .
$$

The partition function then becomes

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} Z_{N}(\beta, \ell)=Z(\beta, \ell)=\int_{\Omega_{\ell, \beta}} d \rho_{0}(\omega) n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega)-|\omega|}
$$

Generalizing the representation to the spins with nearest-neighbor interaction $-u T-Q, u \in \mathbb{R}$ is straightforward.
Graphically we represent the two types of terms by crosses and double bars:

$$
T=\zeta, \quad Q=\biguplus
$$

The trace of a product of $T$ 's and $Q$ 's at different nearest neighbor pairs is again easy to compute and the result has again a simple relationship to a space-time picture of loops:

$$
Z(\beta, \ell, u)=\int_{\Omega_{\ell, \beta}} d \rho_{u}(\omega) n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega)-\left|\omega \_\right|}
$$

with

$$
d \rho_{u}(\omega)=e^{(1+u) 2 \beta(2 \ell-1)} u^{|\omega 孔|} d x^{\otimes|\omega|}
$$

Two important differences: (i) when $u<0$ we now have a signed measure on the configuration of loops; (ii) the loops intersect and the time orientation of the lines is not 'bipartite'. The latter reflects the presence of both ferro- and antiferromagnetic interactions.


## Correlations

The basic correlation functions are integrals of indicator functions of 'events' for loop configurations.
$x \stackrel{+}{\longleftrightarrow} y$ : the set of configurations $\omega$ where the top of $(x, 0)$ is connected to the bottom of $(y, 0)$;
$x \stackrel{-}{\longleftrightarrow} y$ : the set of configurations $\omega$ where the top of $(x, 0)$ is connected to the top of $(y, 0)$

## Proposition

For the spin chain of length $2 \ell$ with interaction
$h_{x, x+1}=-u T_{x, x+1}-Q_{x, x+1}$, we have:
(a) $\operatorname{Tr}^{-2 \beta H_{\ell}}=e^{2 \beta(1+u)(2 \ell \mid-1)} Z(\beta, \ell, u)$.
(b) For all $1 \leq \alpha<\alpha^{\prime} \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr} L_{x}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}} e^{-2 \beta H_{\ell}} \\
& =\frac{2}{n} e^{2 \beta(1+u)(2 \ell \mid-1)} \int_{\Omega_{\ell, \beta}} d \rho_{u}(\omega) n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega)-|\omega \leftrightarrows|}(\mathbb{1}[x \stackrel{-}{\longleftrightarrow} y]-\mathbb{1}[x \stackrel{+}{\longleftrightarrow} y]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## short loops, long loops, winding loops



- the winding loop are those that are not contractible (blue and orange)
- the long loops are those that are winding or visit 3 or more sites (red, blue, orange)
- short loops are those that are not long (green, brown, purple)

For large $\beta$, winding loops become negligible.
If there were only short loops, the measure would clearly be dominated by a perfectly dimerized state.

The challenge is to show that dimerization survives in spite of the non-vanishing contributions of long loops.

## Contours

In the case $u=0$, long loops can serve as contours separating one dimerized phase from the other:

The short loops outside and inside
 the contour are out of phase. A Peierls argument using such contours was used to prove dimerization for $n \geq 17$
( N -Ueltschi, 2017).
Later, special properties of the random loop measure were used to prove dimerization for all $n \geq 3$ (Aizenman, Duminil-Copin, Warzel, 2020).

## Clusters

For $u \neq 0$, configurations contain crosses ( $\zeta$ ), which may be crossings of different loops or self-crossings. Similarly, the top and bottom part of a double bar ( $\models$ ), may belong to the same loop or to different loops. Since these distinction are non-local, we define clusters of long loops that share a $\zeta$ or a $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$.
As in the case $u=0$, the short loops describe the reference dimerized states. A convergent cluster expansion of the partition function is the tool that allows us to prove that short loops dominate (for large $n$ and small |u|).

## Other spin chains

What if we kept the basis where the dominating term is $-P^{(0)}$ (the $S U(n)$ chain, the Temperley-Lieb chain)?
For odd $n$ the the singlet state on which $P:=P^{(0)}$ projects, and the model is unitarily equivalent, including the term $-u T$.
For even $n$, the singlet state is anti-symmetric. There is no translation invariant change of basis that will transform into something of the form $Q$ we used so far, but there is an alternating one:

$$
(\mathbb{1} \otimes V) P\left(\mathbb{1} \otimes V^{*}\right)=Q, \text { and }(V \otimes \mathbb{1}) P\left(V^{*} \otimes \mathbb{1}\right)=Q
$$

with

$$
V|\alpha\rangle=(-1)^{S-\alpha}|-\alpha\rangle .
$$

Therefore the chains with interactions $u T+v P$ are unitarily equivalent to chains with interactions $u \tilde{T}+v Q$. It turns that $\tilde{T}$ satisfies

$$
\tilde{T}=(\mathbb{1} \otimes V) T\left(\mathbb{1} \otimes V^{*}\right)=(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)\left(V^{*} \otimes \mathbb{1}\right) T=-(V \otimes V) T .
$$

In comparison to $T, \tilde{T}$ introduces additional signs associated with crosses in the definition of the measure.


Figure: Left: the crosses are separated by an even number of double bars which yields the factor $(-1)^{S-\alpha}(-1)^{S+\alpha}=-1$. Right: the crosses are separated by an odd number of double bars which yields the factor 1 .

This changes the measure and the ground states but, fortunately, no requires no change in the analysis to prove all the analogous results for this family of spin chains.

## Discussion

- The proofs rely on an expansion of $e^{-\beta H}$ as an integral over random loop configurations on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ with respect to a measure that is positive for $u \geq 0$ and signed for $u<0$. Uses a cluster expansion.
- Stability of the frustration-free point in the phase diagram can be proved by the Bravyi-Hastings-Michalakis strategy adapted to situations with symmetry broken ground states.
- Stability is a non-trivial property.
- Topic of current research: characterize periodic ground state phases.

