Whitney Stratification of Algebraic Maps and Applications to Kinematic Singularities

```
Martin Helmer
North Carolina State University
joint work with Vidit Nanda (Oxford)
FOCM, 2022: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10208-022-09574-8
June 15, 2023
```



## Inverse Kinematics and the Singularities of Kinematic Maps

Think of a kinematic map as: a function $f: C \rightarrow S$ where $C$ is the configuration space and $S$ the output state space (workspace).


## Inverse Kinematics and the Singularities of Kinematic Maps

Think of a kinematic map as: a function $f: C \rightarrow S$ where $C$ is the configuration space and $S$ the output state space (workspace).


Even when $f, C$, and $S$ are smooth the map may have singularities.

## Inverse Kinematics and the Singularities of Kinematic Maps

Think of a kinematic map as: a function $f: C \rightarrow S$ where $C$ is the configuration space and $S$ the output state space (workspace).


Even when $f, C$, and $S$ are smooth the map may have singularities.
Set $m=\operatorname{dim}(C), n=\operatorname{dim}(S)$. The derivative of $f$ at a point $p \in C$ is a linear map $T_{p} C \rightarrow T_{f}(p) S$ between tangent spaces defined by $J(p)$, the $n \times m$ Jacobian matrix of $f$ evaluated at $p$.

The singular locus of $f: \Sigma_{f}:=\{p \in C \mid \operatorname{rank}(J(p))<\min (m, n)\}$.
At points $p \in \Sigma_{f}$ the mechanism may loose a degree of freedom causing a loss of control, but this may not happen at all points in $\Sigma_{f}$.

## Inverse Kinematics and the Singularities of Kinematic Maps

Think of a kinematic map as: a function $f: C \rightarrow S$ where $C$ is the configuration space and $S$ the output state space (workspace).


Even when $f, C$, and $S$ are smooth the map may have singularities.
Set $m=\operatorname{dim}(C), n=\operatorname{dim}(S)$. The derivative of $f$ at a point $p \in C$ is a linear map $T_{p} C \rightarrow T_{f}(p) S$ between tangent spaces defined by $J(p)$, the $n \times m$ Jacobian matrix of $f$ evaluated at $p$.

The singular locus of $f: \Sigma_{f}:=\{p \in C \mid \operatorname{rank}(J(p))<\min (m, n)\}$.
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Goal: understand the global geometry \& topology of $f, \Sigma_{f}, f^{-1}$.
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We can see varieties as a non-linear analogue of v . spaces: we rewrite \& solve poly. systems via Gröbner basis instead of linear systems via Gaussian elim.
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We seek to stratify these spaces by separating them into smooth manifolds which join in a nice way.
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A stratification is a filtration, $X_{0}, \emptyset=X_{-1} \subset X_{0} \subset \cdots \subset X_{n}=X$ of $X$ s.t. $X=\cup_{i} X_{i}$ and s.t. each strata $\mathcal{M}=X_{i}-X_{i-1}$ is either empty or smooth, i.e. is a manifold, and has pure dimension.

Additionally: want decomposition $X=\sqcup_{i} \mathcal{M}_{i}$ to be equisingular, i.e. the neighbourhood in $X$ of any 2 points in a connected comp. of $\mathcal{M}_{i}$ is "similar".
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Theorem (H. Whitney, Annals of Math.1965)
A stratification where all strata pairs satisfy Condition $B$ exists for all algebraic varieties. Further, Condition B implies equisingularity.

Goal: given equations defining $X$ efficiently compute a Whitney stratification (compute $=$ find equations for each $X_{i}$ ).

## Example: Our Algorithm Applied to the Whitney Umbrella

There has long been significant interest in algorithmic computation of Whitney stratifications (e.g. Mostowski \& Rannou 1991, Rannou 1998, Đinh \& Jelonek 2021); previous methods have proved impractical on even the smallest examples.

```
Macaulay2, version 1.21
with packages: ConwayPolynomials, Elimination
i1 : needsPackage "WhitneyStratifications
o1 = WhitneyStratifications
o1 : Package
i2 : R=QQ[x..z];
i3 : X=ideal((x^2*z-y^2);
03 : Ideal of R
i4 : time W=whitneyStratify X;
    -- used 0.28765 seconds
i5 : peek W
05 = MutableHashTable{0 => {ideal (z, y, x)}}
                                    1 => {ideal (y, x)}
    2 => {ideal(x z - y )}
```


## First Ingredients: Conormal Variety
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Eqs. $I_{\text {Con }(X)}$ defining Con $(X)$ are easily computed from the $f_{i}$ via Gröbner basis.

## One Last Ingredient: Associated Primes

For a polynomial ideal I we can compute a primary decomposition:
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Note: this identifies the points of interest and is computable using Gröbner basis calculations only.
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The above leads to a procedure to construct a stratification where each peice satisfies Whitney's Condition B with respect to the top stratum.
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In our 2022 paper (H.\& Nanda, in Found. Comut Math) we construct an effective algorithm to stratify a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ where $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$.

The key ingredient is the Whitney stratification algorithm presented earlier.
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For planar mechanisms we can use the complex version directly via isotropic coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, i.e. represent $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ as $x+i y \in \mathbb{C}$.

This introduces unnecessary computational overhead, however, and even more so when generalized to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

In ongoing work (funded by the AFOSR) nearing completion, which should be appearing on the arxiv in the next couple months, we extend our algorithms to real varieties.

This will allow us to compute Whitney stratification of real varieties $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and of polynomial maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, using Gröbner basis calculations only.

## Summary

We have described an algorithm to compute a Whitney stratification an algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and of algebraic maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

- Ongoing work (funded by AFOSR) gives a similar algorithm to find Whitney stratifications of real varieties $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and to stratify algebraic maps between real varieties.
- This will allow us to stratify kinematic maps $f: C \rightarrow S$, where $C$ and $S$ are real varieties, (eventually) enabling a global study of their singularities using topological techniques.
- There is a M2 package called WhitneyStratifications which implements this.

Package Docs: https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/Macaulay2/doc/Macaulay2/share/doc/ Macaulay2/WhitneyStratifications/html/index.html

## Thank You!

## Thank you for your attention!
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